

The Role of Transcriptomics: Physiological Equivalence Based on Gene Expression Profiles

| メタデータ | 言語: eng |
|-------|--|
| | 出版者: |
| | 公開日: 2018-08-30 |
| | キーワード (Ja): |
| | キーワード (En): |
| | 作成者: 三浦, 詩織 |
| | メールアドレス: |
| | 所属: |
| URL | http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12099/56220 |

The Role of Transcriptomics: Physiological Equivalence Based on Gene Expression Profiles (トランスクリプトミクスの役割: 遺伝子発現プロファイルに基づく生理学的同等性)

2016

The United Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Gifu University

Science of Biological Resources

(Gifu University)

MIURA, Shiori

The Role of Transcriptomics: Physiological Equivalence Based on Gene Expression Profiles (トランスクリプトミクスの役割: 遺伝子発現プロファイルに基づく生理学的同等性)

MIURA, Shiori

CONTENTS

| СН | [APT] | ER I. The role of transcriptomics: physiological equivalence based on gene |
|-----|---------|--|
| exp | oressio | on profiles · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 1 | RNA | and transcriptomics ····· 2 |
| 2 | Meth | nods of transcriptomics ······ 4 |
| | 2.1 | DNA microarray |
| | 2.2 | RNA-seq · · · · · · · 7 |
| 3 | Tran | scriptomics for physiological difference |
| | 3.1 | Evaluation for genetic background |
| | 3.2 | Evaluation for environmental effects10 |
| | 3.3 | Evaluation for toxicological damage13 |
| | 3.4 | Evaluation for diseases |
| 4 | Tran | scriptomics for physiological equality17 |
| | 4.1 | Capacity ·····17 |
| | 4.2 | Reproducibility 19 |
| | 4.3 | Stability21 |
| | 4.4 | Margin of safety 23 |
| 5 | Conc | clusion25 |

CHAPTER II. Oligonucleotide microarray analysis of dietary-induced hyperlipidemia

| gen | e expression profiles in miniature pigs ······ 30 |
|-----|---|
| 1 | Introduction |
| 2 | Materials and methods |
| 3 | Results ······40 |
| 4 | Discussion 48 |
| 5 | Conclusion |

CHAPTER III. Evaluation of the physiology of miniature pig fed Shochu distillery

| was | ste using mRNA expression profiling76 |
|-----|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Introduction |
| 2 | Materials and methods |
| 3 | Results ······83 |
| 4 | Discussion 88 |

| ACKNOWLEDGMENT | S | |
|--------------------|---|------|
| REFERENCES ······· | | |

CHAPTER I

The role of transcriptomics: physiological equivalence based on gene expression profiles

1. RNA and transcriptomics

The "Central dogma of molecular biology", as defined by Francis Crick, explains the flow of genetic information within a biological system (Crick, 1970). This concept states that genetic information encoded in DNA is transcribed to RNA, and RNA is translated to protein. The biological activities of cells, tissues, and organisms are based on the central dogma of molecular biology. RNA is an important factor required to mediate gene and protein expression. There are two types of RNA, non-coding RNA (ncRNA) and messenger RNA (mRNA or protein-coding RNA). ncRNAs play several key roles in gene regulation including transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation, regulation of alternative splicing, control of transcription factor binding, chromatin modification, and protein-coding RNA stabilization (Louro et al., 2009; Pertea, 2012) (Table 1). ncRNAs include ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and transfer RNA (tRNA) and are classified into two broad groups by size. Long ncRNAs (lncRNA) are greater than 200 nucleotides and small ncRNAs (sncRNA) are 200 nucleotides or less. lncRNAs include large intergenic ncRNAs, long intronic ncRNAs, antisense RNAs, and pseudogene RNAs. lncRNAs play critical and specialized roles in numerous biological processes including the regulation of gene expression, and pre- and post-transcriptional modulation of epigenetic regulation (Rinn and Chang, 2012; Guo

et al., 2015). sncRNAs also have several functions: microRNAs (miRNAs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) modulate post-transcriptional gene expression by binding to specific mRNAs; small nucleolar RNAs chemically modify rRNAs and other RNAs; and piwi-interacting RNAs inhibit transposon function through the PIWI protein, and maintain genomic constancy in germline cells. Other ncRNAs include small nuclear RNA, transcription initiation RNA, X-inactivation RNA, and promoter-associated RNA. Dysfunction of ncRNA is associated with complex diseases such as cancer, and neurological, developmental, and cardiovascular diseases (Taft et al., 2010; Esteller, 2011). More than 90% of the genome is transcribed into RNA, and it is estimated that mRNA constitutes approximately 62% of the transcripts (Pertea, 2012). mRNA plays a key role in transcription and reflects the information of almost all expressed genes. The complete set of mRNA, or primary transcripts, under a specific condition or in a specific cell is defined as the "transcriptome". Transcriptomics is the study of the transcriptome and enables researchers to elucidate gene expression dynamics under different circumstances. This leads to a deeper understanding of the function of each gene in the genome, and the regulation of gene expression, and aids in the elucidation of molecular mechanisms of abnormal states such as diseases.

In this study, we describe the general application of transcriptomics and recommend approaches for analyzing whole genome expression profiling data. Additionally, we performed two microarray experiments using pig model for evaluation change and constancy respectively.

2. Methods of transcriptomics

The transcriptome is complex. Over the past decade, several approaches have been developed to elucidate its intricacy and recent dramatic advances in analytical technologies have allowed researchers to further appreciate the transcriptome. In the 1990s, expressed sequence tag (EST) sequencing was employed to rapidly identify expressed genes and gene fragments (Schuler *et al.*, 1996). Although EST sequencing is a high-throughput technique, it is expensive. Tag-based methods including serial analysis of gene expression, cap analysis of gene expression, and massively parallel signature sequencing were developed, but were unable to discriminate between genetic isoforms and were very expensive to apply on a large scale. Microarray was developed for genome-wide analysis, and has become the most widely used approach for transcriptomics. Recently, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) using next generation sequencing technology has allowed the transcriptome to be characterized, and the

number of studies using RNA-seq have gradually increased (Ghosh and Qin, 2010; Yu and Lin, 2016). Microarray and RNA-seq have become the main tools used in transcriptome research. These tools allow us to simultaneously analyze the expression of a large number of genes and to focus on physiological equivalence. Several studies have compared the accuracy of microarray and RNA-seq measurements (Marioni et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2009; Su et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2015). It was found that the biological interpretation of detected genes was mostly consistent between the data of the two technologies, and that RNA-seq provides better detection sensitivity than does microarray. To validate the accuracy of these techniques, it is necessary to quantitatively evaluate the expression levels of genes detected as having altered expression microarrav RNA-seq. Therefore. by DNA or Real-Time Reverse-Transcriptase PCR is performed after global analysis in most studies.

2.1 DNA microarray

DNA microarray analysis was established in 1995 as a new technology to analyze gene expression (Schena *et al.*, 1995). Since then, microarrays are being widely used across biological disciplines. The number of published papers using the technology continues to increase, as does the number of commercial suppliers of

microarrays, associated reagents, and analysis hardware and software (Kawasaki, 2006; Yauk and Berndt, 2007).

The microarray technique is based on the basic principle of "DNA Hybridization" and uses DNA chips consisting of many oligonucleotides (probes) spotted onto a glass slide. Probes are deposited onto glass slides via the direct (*in situ*) synthesis of oligonucleotide probes onto the chip surface using photolithographic methods (Gao *et al.*, 2004) or by deposition methods, which include contact-spotting using pins and deposition by ink jet (Hughes *et al.*, 2001; Sethi *et al.*, 2008). Presently, these arrays comprise mostly 40- to 70-mer oligonucleotides spotted on a glass slide. This technique makes it possible to semi-quantitatively measure the expression levels of large numbers (1,000-40,000) of genes simultaneously. In conjunction with computational analysis tools, microarray analysis enables the identification of genes that vary in expression in different biological contexts (Schena *et al.*, 1995; Quackenbush, 2001).

The microarray method consists of several processes. Total RNA is extracted from the sample and reverse-transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA). cDNA is labeled with fluorescent dyes, hybridized to the DNA chip, and scanned to produce microarray image data. The intensity of fluorescence, which reflects the degree of hybridization and transcript copy number, is digitized by appropriate software. However, microarray has several limitations including requiring information about genome sequence to produce the oligonucleotides, and inaccuracy of data owing to high background from nonspecific cross-hybridization.

2.2 RNA-seq

RNA-seq is a new approach involving next-generation sequencing and allows a quantitative analysis of all expressed genome regions (Wang *et al.*, 2009). The RNA-seq method consists of several steps: extraction of total RNA, reverse transcription of RNA to cDNA, construction of a cDNA fragment library, sequencing using a high throughput sequencing platform, generation of single-end or paired-end reads 30–400 base pairs in length, and sequence alignment (Wang *et al.*, 2009; Griffith *et al.*, 2015). Recently, a method allowing direct single molecule RNA sequencing, without prior conversion of RNA to cDNA, was reported (Ozsolak *et al.*, 2009).

RNA-seq captures RNA directly to build a sequence, allowing for the detection of new transcription products, fused sequences, and single nucleotide polymorphisms of unknown genes without gene-specific biases. Additional advantages of RNA-seq include low background noise, large and dynamic signal range, and detection with no requirement for prior sequence information. More recently, RNA-seq has emerged as the preferred approach for genome-wide expression analysis (Wang *et al.*, 2009; Rowley *et al.*, 2011; Su *et al.*, 2014).

3. Transcriptomics for physiological difference

DNA microarray and RNA-seq technology provide a wide range of novel application opportunities relating to gene expression profiles, which can be applied to various studies. The availability of transcriptomic technology has provided new opportunities for researchers to characterize global gene expression profiles. Recent advances in transcriptomics have allowed us to identify specific genes, gene families, and pathways associated with biological responses. The mechanisms regulating biological reactions, as well as the identification of genes implicated in these responses, are of great interest to the research community. These techniques could serve to assign functions to previously unannotated genes and to allocate gene groups to functional pathways (DeRisi *et al.*, 1996; Han *et al.*, 2015). Additionally, these techniques contribute to our understanding of biological mechanisms and responses to environmental stimuli (Miller and Tang, 2009). The identification of differentially

expressed genes is helpful to show the biological distinction and physiological difference between two different sets of conditions.

3.1 Evaluation for genetic background

Organisms sustain biological activity based on information contained within the genome. The characteristics of the individual are distinctively determined by genetic information, the expression of which defines the phenotype (sex, aging, tissues, individuals, and species). Detection of genes differentially expressed between these phenotypes helps to characterize the sample and allows us to evaluate individual subjects.

Differences in gene expression resulting from changes in intrinsic conditions such as sex (Balakrishnan *et al.*, 2012; Blekhman *et al.*, 2010; Conforto and Waxman, 2012; Jansen *et al.*, 2014; Caetano-Anolles *et al.*, 2015; Sakashita *et al.*, 2015) and aging (Brink *et al.*, 2009; Wilson *et al.*, 2010; Takahashi *et al.*, 2011; Naumova *et al.*, 2012; Steegenga *et al.*, 2014; Roux *et al.*, 2015; Wei *et al.*, 2015) can be identified using microarray and RNA-seq techniques. Liu *et al.* (2013) reported that aging results in sexually dimorphic changes in the skeletal muscle transcriptome, and they detected differential expression of genes related to oxidative phosphorylation, immune function, and muscle protein catabolism. Data show that gene expression dynamics related to aging vary according to sex, and suggest that older women tend to be more predisposed to loss of muscle function with aging. Many studies show that normal tissues have their own gene expression profiles and have identified organ specific gene sets that are highly expressed in a tissue selective manner in the mouse (Su et al., 2002), rat (Walker et al., 2004), dog (Briggs et al., 2011), pig (Hornshøj et al., 2007), and human (Hsiao et al., 2001; Shmueli et al., 2003; Son et al., 2005; Kilpinen et al., 2008). Transcriptomics can also be used to compare gene expression data across species (Chan et al., 2009; Merkin et al., 2012; Sudmant et al., 2015). These studies provide new insights into the molecular basis of tissue and organismal diversity. Transcriptomics can be used to identify genes that contribute to this diversity, and can be utilized to build a biological gene database.

3.2 Evaluation for environmental effects

Recent progress in transcriptomics enables us to identify genes and pathways associated with responses to exogenous abiotic stresses (Ma *et al.*, 2012; Jogaiah *et al.*, 2013; Deshmukh *et al.*, 2014; Evans, 2015). Many studies have used DNA

microarrays to infer how organisms respond to different environments (Gracey and Cossins, 2003; Cossins et al., 2006; Gracey, 2007; Evans and Hofmann, 2012), such as temperature (Murata et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2010; Long et al., 2012; Aguado-Urda et al., 2013; Logan and Buckley, 2015), osmolality (Posas et al., 2000; Evans and Somero, 2008; Melamed *et al.*, 2008; Halbeisen and Gerber, 2009), oxygen (Ton et al., 2003; Garnczarska, 2006; Swiderek et al., 2008; Otsuka et al., 2010; Gracey et al., 2011; Shinde et al., 2015) and pH (Leaphart et al., 2006; Serrano et al., 2006; Worden et al., 2009; Evans et al., 2013). Detection of genes with expression changes in response to environmental change helps to predict the fragility, resistance, and adaptability of an organism, tissue, or cell in the environment. Additionally, genes with constant expression in the presence of environmental change can be identified and are potentially important for overall survival. Transcriptomics using RNA-seq in fishes have reported many new genes that participate in metabolic functions, protein folding and degradation, developmental processes, oxygen transport, and protein synthesis (Liu et al., 2013). These studies have also identified heat shock protein genes that are differentially expressed following alterations in temperature (Liu et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2013). Coble et al. (2014) identified differential expression of genes related to decrease

internal temperatures, reduced hyperthermia-induced apoptosis, and promotion of tissue repair occurring in the liver of heat-exposed broiler chickens. In addition, they also found that the expression of genes involved in the regulation of perturbed cellular calcium changes following heat exposure.

Moreover, an adaptive response to various exogenous environmental stresses, including osmotic pressure and starvation, was validated at a genome level using RNA-seq (Xia *et al.*, 2013; Johnson *et al.*, 2015). RNA-seq is being applied to study the stress response similar to how microarray is being used assess the physiological state.

Transcriptomics has been especially useful in the field of experimental embryology, where it has been used to evaluate the *in vitro* and *in vivo* environments. Transcriptomic data of embryonic cells produced *in vitro* and those developed *in vivo* have been compared in the mouse (Ren *et al.*, 2015), pig (Østrup *et al.*, 2013; Whitworth *et al.*, 2015), bovine (Driver *et al.*, 2012; Degrelle, 2015), and sheep (Wei *et al.*, 2016). These studies have identified crucial discordances between the *in vitro* and *in vivo* expression of several genes and gene pathways. Individual genes, and pathways, function in complex biological processes. Minor changes in the expression of several genes may perturb a pathway and possibly have drastic biological effects (Han *et al.*, 2015). Transcriptomics helps researchers to identify differences in embryo gene expression *in vivo* and *in vitro*. These analyses may assist in improving culture conditions so that *in vitro* analyses can more accurately represent *in vivo* physiological conditions.

3.3 Evaluation for toxicological damage

Evaluation of chemical stress or toxicogenomics is critically important for transcriptome analysis. Afshari *et al.* (1999) and Nuwaysir *et al.* (1999) demonstrated the efficacy of microarray as a tool for assessing chemical and environmental toxicity in a bioassay. Our group has applied microarrays to examine the molecular response of a yeast model using various toxic materials. We have detected differentially expressed genes and determined the mechanism against the toxic matter for each of terpinene (Parveen *et al.*, 2004), dimethyl sulfoxide (Murata *et al.*, 2003), mycotoxin citrinin (Iwahashi *et al.*, 2007), thorium (Mizukami-Murata *et al.*, 2006), cadmium (Momose and Iwahashi, 2001), and thiuram (Kitagawa *et al.*, 2002) (Table 2).

The application of microarray to toxicogenomics is not limited to yeast cells. Various different models are being used to assess cadmium stress, including fungus (Zhao et al., 2015), plants (Oono et al., 2014; He et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015; Oono et al., 2016), fish (Bougas et al., 2013), mollusk (Meng et al., 2013), mouse (Hu et al., 2014), and human cells (Cartularo et al., 2016). Huang et al. (2012) reported that perfluorooctane sulfonate affects the expression of genes related to neurobehavioral defects, mitochondrial dysfunction, and the metabolism of proteins and fats. Identification of differentially expressed genes helps to reveal statistical significance (e.g. fold change and significance test) and/or biological significance (Tseng et al., 2012) and to clarify the mechanisms regulating adaptive responses. RNA-seq can also be applied to study toxicogenomics and has been used to evaluate changes in miRNA expression in response to multiple environmental factors including arsenic (Zhang et al., 2016), cigarette smoke (Beane et al., 2011; Hackett et al., 2012), the carcinogen benzo[a]pyrene (Van Delft et al., 2012) and gamma-irradiation (Moskalev et al., 2014).

We are exposed to many substances that can have a direct or indirect influence on us including drugs, additives, and toxic chemicals. Risk assessment for these materials using transcriptomics is a means to evaluate the degree of toxicity, or risk, that such substances pose to an organism.

3.4 Evaluation for diseases

Comparison of genome-wide expression patterns among patient samples presents us with measurable information and helps to identify genes that would be reasonable targets for therapeutic intervention (Afshari et al., 1999; Chin and Kong, 2002; Dudda-Subramanya et al., 2003; Saei and Omidi, 2011). The invention of technologies for transcriptomics, using genome-wide analysis and computational approaches, has made it possible to identify the prognostic significance of individual gene expression changes from thousands of markers. For example, in cancer studies, this technology is employed to obtain comprehensive gene expression profiles in both normal tissues (Saito-Hisaminato et al., 2002) and cancer tissues including those from hepatocellular carcinomas, pancreatic cancers, and esophageal squamous cell carcinomas (Okabe et al., 2001; Han et al., 2002; Macgregor, 2003; Nakamura et al., 2004; Yamabuki et al., 2006; D'Angelo et al., 2014; Zhu and Tsao, 2014; Nishimura et al., 2015). Using microarrays, biomarkers for Parkinson's disease (Alonso-Navarro et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2014) and myocardial infarction (Devaux et al., 2010; Głogowska-Ligus and Dabek, 2012) have been identified. Additionally, DNA microarrays are used to study complex diseases, in which hundreds of genes are often implicated, such as allergies,

diabetes, and obesity (Rome et al., 2009; S. Wang et al., 2009; Rodríguez-Acebes et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013; Lu and Liao, 2015). Some reports indicate that these diseases rely on multiple gene interactions, rather than changes in a single causal gene, and that many different mechanisms and pathways are linked together (Benson and Breitling, 2006). While more illness biomarkers have been identified using microarray, RNA-seq is emerging as a very powerful tool to identify biomarkers of cancer (Wood et al., 2007; Berger et al., 2010; Pflueger et al., 2011; Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network., 2013; Fumagalli et al., 2014; Kosti et al., 2016), Alzheimer's disease (Satoh et al., 2014), tuberculosis (Zhang et al., 2014), and cirrhosis (Tan et al., 2014). RNA-seq may be a more suitable platform for the search of precise biomarkers than traditional omics approaches, including microarray or proteomics because of its ability to detect novel genes/exons, RNA editing, fusion transcripts, and allele-specific expression. Still, RNA-seq does have limitations from and issues resulting several biases including experimental/technical procedures, downstream computational analyses, and informatics infrastructures (Costa et al., 2013).

Genome-wide analysis has boosted the biomarker diagnostics industry and contributes to disease subtype classification, disease diagnosis and prognosis,

16

selection of therapeutic treatments, and disease prevention (He *et al.*, 2006; Sun *et al.*, 2013; Su *et al.*, 2014; Aibar *et al.*, 2015).

4. Transcriptomics for physiological equality

Most transcriptome analyses have been aimed at detecting genes with altered expression levels. Many researchers analyze expression patterns to identify a characteristic expression pattern following exposure to change, as detailed in the previous section. Although transcriptome analysis has been a powerful tool for biological and biomedical studies, it remains to be determined whether these technologies can be applied with high accuracy and precision. Proof of invariability or/and constancy of gene expression profiles provides internal evidence of biological stability. Transcriptome analysis enables us to check the technical/biological uniformity using genome-wide screening for gene expression.

4.1 Capacity

Gene expression profiles reflect biological capacity and vary according to the situation at the time. For example, expression profiles change during the process of differentiation and generation (Mansergh *et al.*, 2009; Goggolidou *et al.*, 2013;

Iruretagoyena et al., 2014; Shiraki et al., 2014; Alonso-Martin et al., 2016). DNA microarray is tool used to evaluate cell properties by comparing the expression profiles of all genes. In some stem cell research reports, the degree of differentiation and development is evaluated by examining the similarity of gene expression patterns. Global gene-expression patterns were compared between human induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells and human embryonic stem (ES) cells using oligonucleotide DNA microarrays (Takahashi et al., 2007). A high correlation of global gene-expression patterns was found between iPS cells and ES cells, suggesting that established iPS cells are similar to ES cells. Hrvatin et al. (2014) reported that differentiated human stem cells are analogous to fetal β cells rather than adult β cells. Mishra *et al.* (2008) demonstrated that human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells exposed to tumor-conditioned medium over a prolonged period assumed a carcinoma associated fibroblast-like myofibroblastic phenotype. Handel et al. (2016) generated transcriptome data to compare iPS cell derived neurons to human fetal and adult brain and indicated that iPS cell-derived cortical neurons closely resembled primary fetal brain cells. Tanaka et al. (2013) confirmed that the human iPS cell derived myogenic differentiation cells were similar to those of perfectly differentiated human myoblast cells and quite divergent from those of undifferentiated iPS cells. Therefore, transcriptomics provides evidence for the establishment of cell-specific identities.

Moreover, Datson *et al.* (2007) reported the comparison of gene expression profiles between tissues in the same individual. This study reported that a high correlation coefficient was obtained when comparing gene expression in marmoset neuronal tissues (hippocampus and cortex) indicating a high degree of similarity in expression profiles. Additionally, comparison of hippocampal gene expression with that of all peripheral tissues resulted in a severe drop in the correlation coefficient. Thus, transcriptome analysis is useful to demonstrate biological similarity between cells or tissues, and for the determination of genetic characteristics.

4.2 Reproducibility

Biological experiments need to be reproduced multiple times under the same experimental conditions. To demonstrate evidence of reproducibility is important for data to be comparable (Chen *et al.*, 2007; Darbani and Stewart, 2014). The Micro Array Quality Control (MAQC) project was established to construct quality control and standardization tools using four titration samples which are measured

on seven microarray platforms and three alternative gene expression technologies. The MAQC project had already proven the reproducibility of microarray data by the quantitative signal values and the qualitative detection calls (MAQC Consortium *et al.*, 2006). To validate and extend these observations, numerous researchers have independently validated microarray data (Yang *et al.*, 2002; Burgoon *et al.*, 2005; Guo *et al.*, 2006; 't Hoen *et al.*, 2008).

In addition to the technical reproducibility of microarray results, biological and physiological reproducibility are also important. Iwahashi *et al.* (2009) and Takahashi *et al.* (2012) demonstrated the importance of reproducibility of expression profiles among individuals under the same experimental conditions. This reproducibility proved the stability of an experimental protocol that affected the biology and physiology. Therefore, the reproducibility of gene expression patterns observed under the same experimental conditions suggests that the experimental and analysis methods used are stable and robust. However, MAQC does not provide conclusions related to inter-platform compatibility. There are differences in the fluorescent intensities measured by different platforms, and even within each platform site-by-site variability exists (Chen *et al.*, 2007).

Reproducibility of RNA-seq has been demonstrated by multi-group reports (Tang *et al.*, 2015), across laboratories ('t Hoen *et al.*, 2013), and among technical replicates (Marioni *et al.*, 2008; Mortazavi *et al.*, 2008; Anders and Huber, 2010; Bullard *et al.*, 2010; Ozsolak *et al.*, 2010; Roberts *et al.*, 2011). Danielsson *et al.* (2015) compared RNA-seq data sets of human brain, heart, and kidney samples from different laboratories and studies and concluded that RNA-seq expression measurements show global consistency after log transformation and elimination of batch effects. To establish the reproducibility and comparability of RNA-seq, the RNA Sequencing Quality Control (SEQC) project was constituted and coordinated by the Food and Drug Administration. The role of the SEQC is to assess the performance of RNA-seq across laboratories and to dissect different sequencing platforms and data analysis pipelines (SEQC/MAQC-III Consortium, 2014).

4.3 Stability

It is essential that there is a high correlation and reproducibility within and between replicated experiments (within established standards) for data to be considered reliable and robust (Yauk and Berndt, 2007). In 2001, the Functional Genomics Data Society (<u>http://fged.org/</u>) described the Minimum Information About a

Microarray Experiment (<u>http://fged.org/projects/miame/</u>) to establish a standard for recording and reporting microarray-based gene expression data (Brazma *et al.*, 2001). They provide six factors for standardization: experimental design, array design, sample, hybridization, measurements, and normalization. However, this report does not indicate the most suitable standardized analytical methods, which may result in the variance of data.

To overcome the dispersion of microarray data, standardization is carried out in various experimental models such as yeasts (Mizukami *et al.*, 2004; Taymaz-Nikerel *et al.*, 2016), mice (Williams *et al.*, 2004), rats (L. Guo *et al.*, 2006), and non-human primates (Ebeling *et al.*, 2011). Microarray is employed to prove the stability of both experimental conditions and experimental subjects. Iwahashi *et al.* (2009) used genomics to report on the physiology of *medaka*, which are used as a model animal for toxicity testing. *Medaka* mRNA expression was measured in individuals maintained within, as well as beyond, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) guidelines for the fish acute toxicity test. They found that the toxic environment specified within the OECD guidelines did not affect the expression profiles of *medaka* and indicated that extraordinary conditions, beyond the guidelines, decreased reproducibility of data.

Takahashi *et al.* (2011) conducted microarray analysis to evaluate variations in whole blood gene expression patterns in different individual miniature pigs at different ages. The number of expressed genes and variation in gene expression intensity within miniature pigs of the same age were observed to converge with aging, and gene expression became uniform after 20 weeks of age. This report reveals the age at which genetic uniformity of the large animal model was reached. It is a basic concept of biological experiments that all conditions, except the variable being measured, must be the same. Demonstration of biological standardization and uniformity of genetic background using transcriptomics provides great value for laboratory animals in biological experiments. Such analyses allow for efficient and accurate experimental results and contribute to the standardization of breeding and rearing methods.

4.4 Margin of safety

Few studies have applied transcriptomics to the issue of food safety. We have introduced this new application of transcriptomics (Miura *et al.*, 2016). We have used transcriptomics to demonstrate the safety of a diet consisting of a by-product of Japanese liquor production (shochu distilled water: SDW) for use as pig feed.

We evaluated the expression profiles of pigs fed with SDW, hyperlipid diet, and feed containing toxicant. We observed a high correlation between the gene expression profiles of the control and SDW feeding groups. Furthermore, the expression profiles of these two groups were different from those of the hyperlipidemia and toxicant model groups. These data indicated that feeding with SDW did not have a physiological effect on the pigs and assessed such feeding as safe. Therefore, microarray can be used to test foods and demonstrate proof of similarity with the normal state, making it a valuable approach for evaluating safety.

In the medical field, preclinical and clinical drug safety studies are a key prerequisite of the drug approval process. Non-human primates and pigs are important models for such studies. Ebeling *et al.* (2011) reported the genetic similarity of the non-human primate *Macaca fascicularis* to human. However, from the viewpoint of animal welfare, usage of these species in animal experiments has declined, and the opportunity of animal experimentation is limited. Active utilization of transcriptomics, which can obtain a large volume of information simultaneously, improves our understanding of the *in vivo* pharmacokinetics of model organisms and provides a significant contribution to the global "3R" animal

welfare initiative: reduce, refine, and replace animal experiments.

5. Conclusion

Transcriptomics has allowed us to simultaneously identify gene expression dynamics and differential gene expression. Transcriptomics is useful to identify illness biomarkers as well as biological responses to various stimulations and stresses, and plays a key role in advancing genomic and molecular biology research.

However, using this approach, we may overlook potentially important functions of genes that are not induced by the particular condition being examined. Not only should we concentrate on detecting specific differentially expressed genes, but we should also examine the entire expression profile. In addition, standardization of experimental conditions is essential and an absolute requirement for the legitimacy of the experiment. Uniformity of experimental conditions is brought about by adjustment of the technical, platform, and biological biases.

In conclusion, transcriptome analysis can be used to validate the standardization of an experiment by eliminating biological biases. As well as using transcriptomics to identify change or variability, we should take advantage of these approaches as evidence of the invariance, constancy, and reproducibility of our system of interest (Figure 1).

| Table 1. List of major | non-coding RN | VAs and their main functions | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--|
| Function category | Type | | Functions |
| protein | rRNA | ribosomal RNA | Constituent of ribosomes |
| synthesis | tRNA | transfer RNA | Transport of amino acids through ribosomes |
| transcriptional modification, | snoRNA | small nucleolar RNA | Chemically-modified of rRNA and other RNA; methylation and the genespseudouridine modification |
| replication of DNA | snRNA | small nuclear RNA | Functions of pre-mRNA splicing, rRNA processing and histone mRNA 3' end-formation |
| | piRNA | PIWI-interacting RNA | Inhibit the function of the transposon through PIWI protein, and maintains the genomic constancy of the germline cells |
| | miRNA | micro RNA | Modulate the post-transcriptional gene expression by binding to specific mRNA |
| regulation of | siRNA | small interfering RNA | Repress gene expression after transcription called the RNA interference (RNA interference: RNAi) |
| expression | xiRNA | X-inactivation RNA | Inactivate an X chromosome |
| | tiRNA | transcription initiation RNA | Regulation of transcription by targeting epigenetic silencing complexes |
| | lincRNA | large intergenic RNA | Repress the transcriptome response depend on p53 |
| | pRNA | promoter-associated RNA | Mediate transcriptional gene silencing and transcriptional gene activation |
| | | | |

27

| Table 2. Eval | uation of toxicity by | y yeast DNA microarray | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---|
| tovio motorio | _ | function of differentially gene | | main mechanisms of | |
| 10110 1110 1110 1110 | Т | up-regulate gene | down regulate gene | action of toxicity | |
| | | lipid and fatty acid metabolism, | protein and carbohydrate | | Darriagn of al |
| terpinene | antifungal drug | cell wall structure and organization, | metabolism, | cell wall structures | гагусси <i>ег иг.</i> , 2004 |
| | | detoxification, cellular transport | synthesis, transcription | | 2004 |
| | | | energy (mitochondrial | svnthesis and | |
| dimethyl | orivorrotectant | phospholipid biosynthesis, | respiration-related genes), | mintenance | Murata <i>et al</i> ., |
| sulfoxide | ci yupi ulecialli | the methionine synthesis | ion homeostasis, | of the coll well | 2003 |
| | | | protein synthesis | 01 LITE CEIT WALL | |
| mucotovin | imebucces leavily | mataholism oall tacoura | glutathione synthesis, | | Turahachi <i>at al</i> |
| III y v u u v iIII aitriain | nungar secondary | defense and virulance current | cell rescue, | oxidative stress | |
| C11111111 | IIIciauoiiic | uetense and virturence, energy | defense and virulence | | 7007 |
| | | oxidative stress, | | ممصمما المسالم | Mirring Minoton |
| thorium | Iauloacuve motoriol | glycogen and trehalose metabolism, | | cell wall ualliage, | IVIIZUKAIIII-IVIUI ALA $\alpha I \rightarrow 0.000$ |
| | ווומוכוומו | cell wall damage | | UAIUAU VC 501 C55 | el al., 2000 |
| | | nallular transmet | transcription cell growth | membrane factors, | |
| | | control transport, | ualisviipuoli, vui growui, | transport activity, | |
| | | caroonyurate metaoonsm, | protein syntnesis, | protein denaturing and | Kitagawa <i>et al.</i> . |
| thiuram | pesticide | protein destination, | carbohydrate metabolism, | deoradation | 2002 |
| | | transport facilitation, | cellular communication, | avidative stress | 1 |
| | | detoxification, stress response | DNA, lipid etc. metabolism | DNA damage | |

t DNA mic ئے۔ 4101 ,+ + + Ľ

28





CHAPTER II

Oligonucleotide microarray analysis of dietary-induced hyperlipidemia gene expression profiles in miniature pigs

1 Introduction

Hyperlipidemia is well recognized as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD). As diet represents the most important determinant of hyperlipidemia, dietary animal models can be useful for the study of CVD progression (Lissner and Heitmann, 1995). High-fat, high- cholesterol, and high-sugar diets have been shown to induce hyperlipidemia, obesity, and insulin resistance in humans and rodents (Russell and Proctor, 2006; Oron-Herman *et al.*, 2008; Radonjic *et al.*, 2009). Dietary-induced hyperlipidemia pig models have also been established (Kobari *et al.*, 1991; de Smet *et al.*, 1997; Orbe *et al.*, 2001; Bowles *et al.*, 2004; Yin *et al.*, 2004; C. Zhang *et al.*, 2006; De Keyzer *et al.*, 2009).

Compared to rodents, pigs are a useful animal model for elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying the transition from a healthy state to the progression of diseases caused by hyperlipidemia because they are able to breed stably over a long period, and have a similar anatomy and digestive physiology to humans (Simon and Maibach, 2000; Lunney, 2007). In addition, miniature pigs are easier to breed and to handle than other non-primates are, making them a convenient species for preclinical tests (Vodicka *et al.*, 2005). In September 2003, the Swine Genome Sequencing Consortium (SGSC) was formed to promote pig genome sequencing under international coordination (Schook *et*
al., 2005). The swine research environment has been enhanced since members of the SGSC announced a completed swine genome map in November 2009 (Archibald et al., 2010). To evaluate temporal changes in gene expression profiles with the progression of dietary-induced alterations, minimally invasive blood sampling, which allows for the direct measurement of immune-responsive blood cells, excels over other invasive biopsy techniques for disease diagnostics and assessment of drug responses, as well as health monitoring. If biomarker candidate genes can be identified from blood analyses, these may be useful for diagnosis in humans. Use of whole blood is preferable to other specimens on two accounts. Firstly, RNA expression and degradation are susceptible to artificial manipulations such as cell separation and extraction. Whole blood manipulation can reduce these risks via the use of RNA blood collection tubes. Secondly, whole blood is an attractive prime tissue due to its critical role in immune responses, metabolism, and communication with cells and the extracellular matrix in almost all body tissues and organs. Whole blood will depart from the normal state when a considerable alteration occurs in some blood cell subpopulations, tissues, or organs. Moreover, blood samples can be obtained repeatedly from miniature pigs, and blood RNA contains an enormous amount of information on the expression of messenger RNA and non-coding functional RNA molecules that are not translated into proteins.

Thus, analysis of blood RNA provides an opportunity to detect subtle changes in physiological state. We consider it particularly important to identify gene expression characteristics in whole blood. Microarray techniques allow the detection of genome-wide perturbations in response to different treatments and the measurement of various responses using a multitude of gene probes. Toxicogenomics, in which microarray techniques are specifically used in toxicology tests, has been widely recognized as one of the standard safety procedures for chemicals (Tong et al., 2003; Pennie et al., 2004; Williams-Devane et al., 2009). Gene expression microarrays have been used particularly for the screening of genes involved in specific biological processes of interest. Microarrays also allow the clustering of genes according to similar patterns of expression or functions. In this study, we conducted a series of whole blood microarray experiments to evaluate long-term alterations during 27-week feeding periods using specific pathogen-free (SPF) miniature pigs. There are two main types of dietary protocols for hyperlipidemia pig models, one with cholesterol and animal lipids (Kobari et al., 1991; de Smet et al., 1997; Orbe et al., 2001; Bowles et al., 2004; De Keyzer et al., 2009), and the other with cholesterol, animal lipids, and sucrose (Yin et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2006). Some studies have focused primarily on a subset of genes, but this approach cannot elucidate whole blood RNA profiles during the process of

change. We selected two typical dietary protocols. One was a high-fat and high-cholesterol diet (HFCD) containing 15% lard and 2% cholesterol; the other was a high-fat, high-cholesterol, and high-sucrose diet (HFCSD) containing 15% lard, 2% cholesterol, and 37% sucrose. The present microarray analyses of whole blood were conducted according to the following aspects. The first analysis dealt with similarity among individuals based on the correlation coefficient. Variation among individuals of the same dietary group and between the different dietary periods was examined. The second analysis addressed the function of genes. Up- or down-regulated genes for each dietary protocol were examined by functional categorization. While whole blood RNA derives from white blood cell RNA, whole blood gene expression profiles may not entirely correspond to those of white blood cells (Takahashi et al., 2011). White blood cell microarray analyses conducted at the end of each dietary period are greatly influenced by diet, and the variations between the expression profiles of white blood cells and whole blood were assessed for each dietary group

2 Materials and Methods

Animals

Fifteen 12-week-old, male Clawn miniature pigs were housed individually in cages of

1.5 m² at the breeder's specific pathogen free (SPF) facility (Japan Farm Co., Ltd, Kagoshima, Japan) for 27 weeks. Body weights at the beginning of the experiment were 5.1 (2.6) kg (mean (standard deviation; SD)). During this period, 5 pigs were fed with 450 g/day standard dry feed (Kodakara73, Marubeni Nisshin Feed Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan), and had unlimited access to water (control group). Five pigs were fed a high-fat, high-cholesterol diet containing 15% lard and 2% cholesterol (HFCD group). The 5 remaining pigs were fed a high-fat, high-cholesterol and high-sucrose diet containing 15% lard, 2% cholesterol, and 37% sucrose (HFCSD group). During dissections, the heart, liver, kidney, stomach, and spleen were excised and weighed immediately.

Hematology and clinical chemistries

Blood samples were collected from the superior vena cava after 5, 10, 14, 19, 23, and 27 weeks of the feeding period. Blood (EDTA), plasma (EDTA), and serum samples for hematology and biochemical tests were collected 24 hours after fasting. Hematology and biochemical tests were conducted by Clinical Pathology Laboratory, Inc. (http://www.patho.co.jp/index.html) (Kagoshima, Japan) using standard clinical methods.

MIAME compliance and data availability

The microarray experiments described in this manuscript were MIAME compliant and the raw data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (Accession number GSE 32616,

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE32616.)

Preparation of samples and microarray assays

Whole blood samples for microarray analyses were collected from each subject in PAXgeneTM tubes (Qiagen/BD GmbH, UK), incubated at room temperature for 4 hours for RNA stabilization, and then stored at -80°C. RNA was extracted from whole blood using the PAXgeneTM Blood RNA System Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Germany) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. RNA from white blood cells was extracted from whole blood samples using a LeukoLOCK Total RNA Isolation kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). Isolations were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. The quality of the purified RNA was verified using an Agilent[®] 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technolo- gies, Santa Clara, CA). RNA concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop[®] ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). Fluorescent cyanine 3-CTP– labeled cRNA was used for hybridization onto porcine oligo microarray slides

(#G2519F#20109, Agilent Technologies) containing 43,603 oligonucleotide probes at 65°C for 17 h. The hybridized microarray slides were washed according to the manufacturer's instructions and were scanned with an Agilent DNA Microarray Scanner (#G2565BA, Agilent Technologies) at 5-µm resolution. The scanned images were analyzed numerically using Agilent Feature Extraction Software version 9.5.3.1. (Agilent Technologies).

Microarray data analysis

Normalized data using quantile normalization were analyzed using GeneSpring GX software version 10.0.1 (Agilent Technologies). The Gene Ontology (GO) Database (http://www.geneontology.org/) was used to categorize gene expression profiles functionally. GO terms were obtained from the TIGR pig gene indices, Porcine version 14.0 3-11-10 (http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/cgi-bin/tgi/gimain.pl?gudb=pig). The TC Annotator List includes the gene number and the GO terms. Out of the 43,603 probes used in the Agilent porcine microarray (#G2519F#20109), GO annotations were available for 6,019 genes. Microarray cDNA probes were classified according to GO terms for different biological processes. For the microarray data analyses, we focused particularly on the variation of dietary-related gene expression profiles. Initially,

microarray spots of interest were divided into 2 groups: "absent" and "present," using the flag values provided by the scanner. Background levels were determined from the spots outside of the gene probing area. "Absent" was assigned to spots with a signal intensity that was less than that of the background level, while the rest were marked "present". Only data for "present" spots were used for the analyses. The intensity ratio of white blood cell gene expression to that of whole blood is a contribution indicator for white blood cell RNA to whole blood RNA. The relation of tissues or organs ESTs to the white blood cell contribution indicator was examined. To focus on obesity-related organs, i.e., the liver, adipose tissue, and muscle, the relative EST numbers of these organs to blood ESTs for each gene were calculated using EST profiles from the Unigene NCBI database of the transcriptome. An EST profile breakdown of 22,000 porcine genes by body site is available, comprising 40 organ types, such as the lung, ovary, liver, adipose tissue, muscle, and blood. The profiles show gene expression inferred from EST cDNA library patterns counts and sources (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene/).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were analyzed using a one-way factorial ANOVA followed by a

Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons test for multiple groups. After excluding the unexpressed genes from each set of array data, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to identify similarities in gene expression among individuals. Pearson correlation coefficients were analyzed by a one-way factorial ANOVA using Fisher's Z-transform to normalize the correlation distribution. Correlations were considered statistically significant for ANOVA tests among all groups and *t*-tests between 2 groups when p < 0.05. All values were expressed as non-transformed mean (standard deviation (SD)). Genes with a fold change greater than 2.0 (p < 0.05) and less than 0.5 (p < 0.05) after 10, 19, and 27 weeks were identified. These genes were mapped to the Gene Ontology and KEGG pathway in the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.7, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) (Dennis et al., 2003; D. W. Huang et al., 2009). Chi-square tests were performed for feature extractions of GO terms. The expected values were the number of up- and down-regulated genes bearing all GO annotations, and the observed values were specific to each GO term. Simple linear regressions were performed for the scatter plots to obtain the slopes and intercepts, and the significance of each regression slope was verified.

Ethical considerations

All experimental protocols were approved by the Committee for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals at AIST (Permit Number: 2009-055A).

3 Results

Characteristics of study subjects

Temporal changes in mean body weights for the 3 dietary groups are shown in Figure 2. One-way ANOVA analysis for dietary-related variation revealed no significant difference at any feeding period except at week 12. In this study, the term "week" refers to the dietary period and not to the period since birth, unless otherwise stated. Table 3 lists the fasting plasma triglyceride concentrations for the group fed the high-fat, high-cholesterol diet (HFCD) and the group fed the high-fat, high-cholesterol, and high-sucrose diet (HFCSD). Almost no changes were observed in fasting plasma triglyceride levels. Fasting plasma total cholesterol concentrations had increased in the HFCD group and the HFCSD group by week 5 of the feeding period (p < 0.001) and were maintained between 350 and 1150 mg/dL from weeks 10–27 (Table 4). Fasting plasma high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) concentrations increased and showed significant differences (p < 0.001) from weeks 10–27 between two dietary treatment groups and control (Table 5). Fasting plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) concentrations also increased and showed significant differences from weeks 5–27 between two dietary treatment groups and control (Table 6). Fasting plasma glucose concentrations remained unchanged (Table 7). The number of white blood cells and the ratios of granulocytes (basophiles, eosinophils, neutrophils, lymphocytes, and monocytes) to white blood cells were not statistically significant among the three test groups (Table 8–13). The liver (p < 0.001) and spleen (p < 0.01) weights were increased significantly compared to the controls in both the HFCD and HFCSD groups. In contrast, the heart, kidney, and stomach weights remained unchanged (Table 14).

Microarray gene expression profiles – Correlation of gene expression

RNA analyses were conducted on blood samples obtained at weeks 10, 19, and 27 of the feeding periods to characterize the dietary effects on gene expression profiles in whole blood and white blood cells of miniature pigs. Each RNA sample was analyzed by porcine gene expression microarray consisting of 43,603 oligonucleotide probes. We evaluated variation in correlation coefficients among individuals on the same diet and between different diet groups.

Pearson correlation coefficients were used for the correlation analysis. Correlation coefficients for 45 microarrays in total were obtained for a normalized signals log-scale after excluding "absent" spots, definition of "absent" were described in Materials and Methods. A color-coded pairwise correlation matrix is displayed in Figure 3. Figure 4 illustrates the mean correlation coefficients for gene expression profiles among individuals within the same dietary group, showed the individual difference of the gene expression profiles within the dietary groups during dietary period. Figure 5 presents the mean correlation coefficients for gene expression profiles among different diet groups. The correlation coefficients of whole blood expression profiles within the same diet groups were 0.97 (0.01) (mean (standard deviation; SD)), 0.94 (0.05), and 0.97 (0.01) for the control, HFCD, and HFCSD whole blood at 10 weeks, 0.94 (0.03), 0.93 (0.06), and 0.95 (0.01) at 19 weeks, and 0.95 (0.02), 0.95 (0.03), and 0.98 (0.01) at 27 weeks, respectively. The correlation coefficients of white blood cell expression profiles within the same dietary groups were 0.94 (0.05), 0.95 (0.03), and 0.96 (0.02) for the control, HFCD, and HFCSD groups at 27 weeks, respectively. Using Fisher's Z-transformation to normalize the correlation distributions, no significant differences in correlation coefficients among dietary groups were observed at any period during the treatments. This indicates uniformity of dietary- induced hyperlipidemia for our protocols. Next, we

analyzed expression profile correlations among the different diet groups. In Figure 5, "control vs. HFCD" represents the mean correlation coefficient between control and HFCD group individuals. The whole blood correlation coefficients among the different diet groups were 0.95 (0.04), 0.97 (0.01), and 0.96 (0.04) for control vs. HFCD, control vs. HFCSD, and HFCD vs. HFCSD at 10 weeks, 0.93 (0.03), 0.94 (0.02), and 0.95 (0.03) at 19 weeks, and 0.95 (0.03), 0.91 (0.03), and 0.95 (0.03) at 27 weeks, respectively. The white blood cell correlation coefficients among the different diet groups were 0.94 (0.04), 0.94 (0.03), and 0.96 (0.02) for control vs. HFCD, control vs. HFCSD, and HFCD vs. HFCSD at 27 weeks, respectively. Correlations of whole blood expression profiles were statistically significant according to an ANOVA test among all groups at 27 weeks, as a low correlation coefficient was obtained for the control vs. HFCSD groups. This indicates HFCSD differs much from control group and slightly from HFCD 27 weeks in whole blood gene expression profiles.

Figure 6 displays the average correlation coefficients between whole blood and white blood cell expression profiles within the same dietary group. The correlation coefficients were 0.83 (0.04), 0.79 (0.07), and 0.74 (0.05) for control, HFCD, and HFCSD at 27 weeks, respectively. Significant differences were observed between the control and HFCSD groups according to an ANOVA analysis using Fisher's Z-transform (p < 0.01).

Assigning known functions to gene expression – Gene ontology annotation

We identified up- and down-regulated genes and classified these according to function using information from the Gene Ontology (GO) Database to understand the observed differences in whole blood gene expression profiles for the different dietary groups. Top-ranked genes with fold changes in expression greater than 2.0 (p < 0.05; HFCD, Table 15; HFSCD, Table 16) and less than 0.5 (p < 0.05; HFCD, Table 17; HFSCD, Table 18) were selected at 10, 19, and 27 weeks. Genes TC440907, TC448587 (ABCA1), and TC438339 were ranked highest in HFCD and HFCSD during the dietary period. These genes were analyzed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID; Table 19, HFCD; Table 20, HFCSD). As a result, the GO categories of many genes up-regulated at the end of the 19- week dietary period in both HFCD and HFCSD groups were related to nucleotide binding (GO: 0000166, GO: GO: 0005524, 0005525, GO: 0017076, GO: 0019001, GO: 00032553, GO: 00032555, GO: 0032561). The GO categories of gene up- regulated after 19 weeks in the HFCD group only were related to catabolic processes (GO: 0009057, GO: 0019941, GO: 0030163, GO: 0043632, GO: 0044257, GO: 0044265,). Many genes down-regulated after 27

weeks in both HFCD and HFCSD groups were in the GO categories related to biological adhesion (GO: 0007155, GO: 0022610). In addition, many genes downregulated at the end of the 27-week dietary period in the HFCSD group only were related to steroid metabolism and lipid biosynthesis (GO: 0006694, GO: 0008202, GO: 0008203, GO: 0008610, GO: 0016125, GO: 0016126). To investigate potential reasons for the differences in gene expression among the diet groups during the dietary period, Chi- square tests were performed to identify whole blood GO categories for each treatment group vs. the control group. The expected values represented the number of up- and down-regulated genes bearing all GO annotations at each period of the diet, and the observed values represented the number of up- and down- regulated genes bearing each specific GO term. A difference of p < 0.05 between groups was considered significant. To identify up- and down-regulated genes, we compared levels of expression for each gene between the control vs. HFCD groups and between the control vs. HFCSD groups at each period using Student's *t*-tests. As the lowest number of genes for which the expectation frequency reached 1 or higher was 140 according to the conditions of observed value, the GO terms, which involve more than 140 genes, were used for the Chi-square tests. The results of the Chi- square tests for up- and down-regulated genes are listed in Tables 21-24. The correlation coefficients of

constituent gene between whole blood and white blood cells at 27 weeks were calculated for each GO term. Table 21 lists the GO terms for which significant differences were observed in the HFCD and HFCSD groups relative to the expected values. Inflammatory response elements (GO:0006954) were repressed in the HFCD group, and were both induced and repressed in the HFCSD group. The correlation coefficients between whole blood and white blood cells for expression levels of inflammatory response genes were 0.92 (0.03), 0.97 (0.02), and 0.95 (0.02) for the control, HFCD, and HFCSD groups, respectively. Genes involved in reproduction (GO:0000003) were induced in the HFCD group, and were both induced and repressed in the HFCSD group. The correlation coefficients for expression levels of genes involved in reproduction between whole blood and white blood cells were 0.91 (0.02), 0.93 (0.03), and 0.88 (0.03) for the control, HFCD, and HFCSD groups, respectively.

Table 22 lists the GO terms for which significant differences were observed in the HFCD group compared to the expected values. Muscle contraction (GO:0006936) and locomotor behavior (GO:0007626) elements were both induced and repressed. Muscle organ development (GO:0007517) and metabolic processes (GO:0008152) were repressed.

Table 23 lists the GO terms for which significant differences were observed in the

HFCSD group compared to the expected values. Translation (GO:0006412), embryonic development ending in birth or egg hatching (GO:0009792), electron transport (GO:0006118), and transcription from the RNA polymerase II promoter (GO:0006366) elements were both induced and repressed. Positive regulation of growth rates (GO:0040010), nematode larval development (GO:0002119), intracellular protein transport (GO:0006886) and growth (GO:0040007) elements were induced. A cell surface receptor-linked signaling pathway (GO:0007166) and responses to hypoxia (GO:0001666) were repressed.

Table 24 lists the GO terms for which ratios to the expected values were unchanged in the HFCD and HFCSD groups. In addition, the ratios of up- and down-regulated genes to the each observed values were unchanged at 27 weeks.

Figure 7 depicts a scatter plot of correlation coefficients between whole blood and white blood cells for each GO term, selected for the Chi-square tests, at 27 weeks of each dietary treatment group relative to the control group. The slope of the HFCD to the controls regression line was 1.007 (p < 0.001). The slope of the HFCSD to the controls regression line was 1.097 (p < 0.001), indicating that the correlation coefficients between whole blood and white blood cell expression levels for many GO terms were low. The predominant GO terms with low correlation coefficients in the HFCSD group were nervous system development (GO:0007399), biological processes (GO:0008150), signal transduction (GO:0007165), regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent (GO:0006355), and cell proliferation (GO:0008283). In contrast, the predominant GO terms with high correlation coefficients in the HFCSD group were skeletal system development (GO:0001501), small GTPase mediated signal transduction (GO:0007264), synaptic transmission (GO:0007268), cell surface receptor linked signaling pathway (GO:0007166), and transcription from the RNA polymerase II promoter (GO:0006366). The intensity ratio of white blood cells to whole blood is a contribution indicator of the white blood cell RNA to whole blood gene expression. To focus on obesity-related organs, i.e., the liver, adipose tissue, and muscle, the relative numbers of ESTs for these organs to blood ESTs for each gene were calculated using EST profiles from the Unigene NCBI database of the transcriptome. The normalized EST values increase when the contribution indicator is small, as shown in Figure 8.

4 Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate the transition of gene expression profiles caused by dietary-induced hyperlipidemia through blood microarray analyses of miniature pigs during a 27-week dietary period.

Dietary-induced hyperlipidemia miniature pig models have previously been established. There are 2 main types of dietary protocol, one containing cholesterol and animal lipids (Kobari et al., 1991; de Smet et al., 1997; Orbe et al., 2001; Bowles et al., 2004; Keyzer et al., 2009), and the other containing cholesterol, animal lipids, and sucrose (Yin et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2006). Some studies have focused their attention on certain kinds of candidate genes with specific functions, but this has not clarified a complete projection of whole blood RNA profiles of the transitions caused by diet-induced hyperlipidemia. Excessive exposure to dietary fats and/or sugars is an essential factor in the initiation of obesity and metabolic syndrome-associated pathologies, two typical conditions associated with diet-induced hyperlipidemia. The fasting plasma total cholesterol level in- creased within a month, and then, either remained high or decreased in the high-fat and high-cholesterol diet (HFCD) models (Kobari et al., 1991; Bowles et al., 2004; Keyzer et al., 2009). In contrast, fasting plasma total cholesterol levels increased throughout the dietary period in the high-fat, high-cholesterol, and high-sucrose diet (HFCSD) models (Zhang et al., 2006). Therefore, in the present study, hyperlipidemia was induced by the administration of a high- fat and high-cholesterol diet or a high-fat, high-cholesterol, and high sucrose diet to Clawn miniature swine.

Fasting plasma lipid values increased rapidly and were maintained at a high level during

the 27-week feeding period under both feeding treatments. However, fasting plasma glucose concentrations remained unchanged. The liver and spleen weights increased significantly after the 27 weeks, and fatty livers were reported based on autopsies of individuals from both treatment groups. There was no significant difference in body weight, hematology, or other biochemical aspects of blood between individuals from the 2 dietary treatments.

Gene expression profiles of dietary-induced hyperlipidemia for whole blood RNA

We used whole blood to evaluate the transition of gene expression profiles. Whole blood RNA is easy to handle compared to isolated white blood cell RNA. In addition, whole blood contains a heterogeneous mixture of subpopulations of blood cells. Associated changes will be reflected on whole blood RNA once a great change has occurred in the composition and expressing condition of subpopulations, tissues, or organs. We previously evaluated the "healthy state" gene expression profile by whole blood microarray analyses of miniature pigs of different age groups, and identified characteristics of age-related gene expression by taking into account the change in the number of expressed genes by age and the similarities of gene expression intensity between individuals (Takahashi *et al.*, 2011). The report on the healthy state of

miniature pigs found that the correlation coefficients within the same age groups were 0.87 (0.04), 0.93 (0.03), 0.98 (0.01), and 0.96 (0.02), for the fetal stage, and for 12-, 20-, and 30-week-old male pigs, respectively. Variation in gene expression was greatest for younger subjects and diminished with age. These results indicate that uniformity of laboratory animals can be expected in miniature pigs after 20 weeks of age. In this study, feeding treatments commenced when the pigs were 12 weeks old, RNA analysis was conducted on whole blood sampled after 10, 19, and 27 weeks of the feeding period, and on white blood cell RNA after 27 weeks. Variation in whole blood gene expression intensity among individuals within either the HFCD or the HFCSD group was in the same range as that of the controls at any period, indicating uniformity of dietary-induced hyperlipidemia expression profiles in miniature pigs.

Effects of white blood cells on whole blood gene expression profiles in dietary-induced hyperlipidemia

Most of the nucleated cells in blood are white blood cells such as neutrophils, T cells, B cells, and monocytes. Min *et al.* (2010) reported highly correlated results ($r^2=0.85$) for 8,273 genes expressed in both whole blood RNA and peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMCs) RNA samples from healthy volunteers. Other researchers have conducted a

large-scale genome-wide expression analysis of white blood cell subpopulations (Cobb *et al.*, 2005). That study indicated that correlation coefficients for T cells and monocytes among different healthy subjects were 0.98 (0.01) and 0.97 (0.01), respectively. However, the correlation coefficient between T cells and monocytes for the same subjects (n=5) was 0.88 (0.01), indicating varied correlations between white blood cell subpopulations (Cobb *et al.*, 2005). We believe that no effects of composition ratio of white blood cell subpopulations were observed in our study, because the ratios of granulocytes (neutrophils, eosinophils, and basophils), lymphocytes, and monocytes to white blood cells were statistically insignificant among the three test groups.

In previous studies, tumor-derived RNA was detected in the circulation of cancer patients (Kopreski *et al.*, 1999; Lo *et al.*, 1999). It has also been demonstrated that fetal RNA can be detected in maternal plasma (Poon *et al.*, 2000). These results indicate that whole blood RNA may contain RNA originating from the tissues and/or organs. Hyperlipidemia is one of the risk factors associated with atherosclerosis.

Atherosclerosis was induced by the administration of a high-fat and high-diet to Göttingen miniature swine for a 6-month period (Kobari *et al.*, 1991). The liver and spleen weights were increased significantly compared to the controls in both the HFCD and HFCSD groups in our experiment at the end of each dietary period. Thus white blood cell microarray analyses were conducted at the end of each dietary period, as the tissues and/or organs, such as the liver, spleen, and blood vessels, were presumed to be influenced by dietary treatment.

The average white blood cell correlation coefficients within the HFCD and HFCSD groups were in the same range as that of the controls after the 27-week feeding period. However, variation in whole blood gene expression intensity between the HFCSD group and the control group was statistically significant, whilst variation in white blood cell gene expression intensity between the HFCSD group and the control group was not significant after the 27-week feeding treatments. In addition, the HFCSD correlation coefficient between whole blood and white blood cells after 27 weeks was significantly lower than that of the control and HFCD groups.

The intensity ratio of white blood cell gene expression to that of whole blood shows the contribution of white blood cell RNA to whole blood RNA samples. The intensity ratio of white blood cells to whole blood is, therefore, considered as the contribution indicator. We assume that the low intensity ratio of white blood cell to whole blood gene expression indicates a greater contribution of tissues and/or organs RNA to whole blood RNA. We then compared the EST numbers of the tissue or organ with the contribution indicator, focusing on obesity-related organs such as the liver, adipose tissue, and

muscle. The number of gene ESTs for each tissue or organ normalized to blood ESTs becomes greater when the contribution indicator is small. As a result, we suggest that RNAs originating from tissues and/or organs are present in whole blood.

Characteristics of gene expression profiles in dietary- induced hyperlipidemia

It is generally acknowledged that excessive exposure to dietary lipids disrupts the homeostasis of cellular metabolism and triggers an inflammatory response in adipose tissue (Hotamisligil, 2006). An enhanced inflammatory response has been observed in the livers of mice fed on high-fat diets and in skeletal muscles of Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty (OLETF) rats using microarrays (Hayashi *et al.*, 2010). We examined dietary-induced transitions of gene expression profiles for genes bearing GO terms. Major changes included an induction of proteins involved in catabolic processes and protein metabolism after a 19-week dietary period, especially in the HFCD group, and a reduced expression of proteins involved in steroid metabolism and lipid biosynthesis after a 27-week dietary period, especially in the HFCSD group.

In whole blood samples, some genes involved in inflammatory responses (GO: 0006954) were down-regulated in the HFCD group, whilst some genes involved in inflammatory responses were up-regulated and others were down-regulated in the

HFCSD group. It has been established that skeletal muscle is an obesity-related organ, such as the liver and adipose tissue, in association with insulin resistance (Krotkiewski, 1994; Roberts et al., 2002; Hotamisligil, 2006). Indeed, 2 out of 4 GO terms (muscle contraction, GO: 0006936, muscle organ development, GO: 0007517) that were statistically significant in the HFCD group were related to muscle function. Genes involved in reproduction (GO: 000003) were induced in the HFCD group, and were either induced or repressed in the HFCSD group. Asexual reproduction is the process by which an organism creates a genetically similar or identical copy of itself without the contribution of genetic material from another individual, and some genes involved in asexual reproduction are linked to the repair of damaged organs. Genes involved in translation (GO: 0006412), positive regulation of growth rate (GO: 0040010), and growth (GO: 004007) were induced in the HFCSD group, and these processes are also linked to organ repair. Meanwhile, GO terms that were statistically significant in the HFCSD group were mainly associated with cellular volatility, such as cellular activity, cell growth, or cellular responses.

We examined correlations between whole blood and white blood cells for genes bearing GO terms. The correlation coefficients for each GO term were calculated for the control, HFCD, and HFCSD groups after the 27-week feeding treatments. As a result, GO terms related to white blood cell function, including inflammatory responses (GO: 0006954), and cell surface receptor-linked signaling pathways (GO: 0007166) show high correlation coefficients in the control and dietary groups. In contrast, GO terms related to the repair of damaged organs, including translation (GO: 0006412), positive regulation of growth rate (GO: 0040010), and growth (GO: 004007), show low correlation coefficients in the HFCSD group.

The differences in the scatter plot regression slopes between the HFCD and control treatments and between the HFCSD and control treatments did not indicate a decrease in the extraction efficiency of RNA due to inhibitory substances in blood. In a previous study of microarray cDNA expression profiles using 23 healthy porcine tissue specimens, a large portion of the genes exhibited tissue-specific expression in agreement with mappings to gene descriptions (Hornshøj *et al.*, 2007). In our study, the minimum correlation coefficient for each GO term was 0.737 (0.038), while the maximum was 0.989 (0.004), indicating different values related to functions. The reason for the lower correlation may be due to the differences in gene expression between blood cells and organs, and because a stronger tendency for a decrease in correlation strength was observed in the HFCSD group as compared to the HFCD group. Our EST profile analysis also supported this assumption.

Statistically significant differences in fasting plasma lipids and glucose levels between the HFCD and HFCSD groups were not observed. However, blood RNA analyses demonstrated differences in the characteristics of dietary components between these groups. By considering variation in the dietary-induced hyperlipidemia gene expression profiles of miniature pigs, we have established that whole blood RNA analyses can be used in practical applications. The blood RNA diagnostics under development may eventually be useful for monitoring human health.

5 Conclusion

In this study, no statistically significant differences in fasting plasma lipids and glucose levels between the HFCD and HFCSD groups were observed. However, blood RNA analyses revealed different characteristics corresponding to the dietary protocols. In conclusion, whole blood RNA analyses proved to be a useful tool to evaluate transitions in dietary-induced hyperlipidemia gene expression profiles in miniature pigs.



Figure 2. Subject body weights.

19 weeks whole blood 27 weeks whole blood 27 weeks white blood cells Control HFCD HFCSD Control HFCSD Control HFCSD 1 HFCSD 10 weeks whole blood Control | HFCD | HFCSD Weeks 19 weeks whole blood 27 weeks whole blood 27 weeks white blood cells Control HFCSD Control HFCSD Control HFCSD Control HFCD 10 weeks whole blood

Figure 3. Correlation matrix of dietary-related gene expression profiles of whole blood and white blood cells.

59

1.0

0.5

HFCSD



Figure 4. Summary of dietary-related correlation coefficients within the same diet groups.











Figure 7. Scatter plot of dietary-related correlation coefficients.





| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 0 | 44.5±16.9 | 42.4±14.4 | 37.8±21.4 | NS |
| 5 | 25.3±7.2 | 22.0±22.0 | 19.5±9.3 | NS |
| 10 | 21.0±2.3 | 30.4±18.9 | 30.2±14.7 | NS |
| 14 | 10.8±5.6 | 7.4±5.0 | 6.0±3.2 | NS |
| 19 | 10.2±3.6 | 14.2±14.0 | 15.6±7.2 | NS |
| 23 | 16.8±7.6 | 8.2±3.6 | 8.6±3.2 | <.05 |
| 27 | 8.0±2.3 | 7.2±3.4 | 12.0±13.5 | NS |

Table 3. Fasting plasma triglyceride levels (mg/dL).

Values are mean \pm SD. NS; not significant. $^{\dagger}P$ values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

Table 4. Fasting plasma total cholesterol levels (mg/dL).

| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| 0 | 99.0±21.3 | 117.4±22.2 | 100.4±22.5 | NS |
| 5 | 103.8±4.8 | 620.0±292.6 | 605.0±131.2 | <.001 |
| 10 | 81.2±11.1 | 780.0±239.3 | 619.0±205.3 | <.001 |
| 14 | 54.4±12.4 | 646.7±141.4 | 480.3±43.3 | <.001 |
| 19 | 54.6±11.9 | 745.4±172.7 | 874.6±208.4 | <.001 |
| 23 | 54.2±16.9 | 562.6±144.9 | 654.4±219.2 | <.001 |
| 27 | 53.0±8.8 | 541.4±148.5 | 689.4±267.3 | <.001 |

Values are mean \pm SD. NS; not significant. [†]*P* values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|
| 0 | 39.3±11.8 | 50.3±14.8 | 41.9±11.5 | NS |
| 5 | 50.3±3.1 | 81.1±6.3 | 70.7±19.8 | NS |
| 10 | 41.1±5.9 | 105.2±30.2 | 96.2±19.6 | <.001 |
| 14 | 36.2±5.4 | 99.3±21.4 | 106.3±14.9 | <.001 |
| 19 | 30.6±7.6 | 100.3±22.7 | 117.9±19.8 | <.001 |
| 23 | 31.4±8.7 | 122.3±8.2 | 110.7±14.2 | <.001 |
| 27 | 29.1±4.5 | 119.2±12.1 | 106.6±14.6 | <.001 |

Table 5. Fasting plasma HDL cholesterol levels (mg/dL).

Values are mean \pm SD. NS; not significant. [†]*P* values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

Table 6. Fasting plasma LDL cholesterol levels (mg/dL).

| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| 0 | 56.8±11.1 | 68.4±20.6 | 56.4±12.9 | NS |
| 5 | 54.0±5.0 | 318.8±141.7 | 283.8±49.5 | <.01 |
| 10 | 39.2±7.5 | 339.2±146.5 | 259.2±107.5 | <.01 |
| 14 | 21.8±5.6 | 212.0±138.4 | 152.3±43.3 | <.05 |
| 19 | 22.8±6.3 | 236.4±102.7 | 248.2±78.6 | <.001 |
| 23 | 20.8±9.4 | 220.6±102.2 | 186.8±46.7 | <.001 |
| 27 | 20.6±5.8 | 201.4±85.2 | 193.4±86.2 | <.01 |

Values are mean ± SD. NS; not significant.

[†]P values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| 0 | 111.8±12.0 | 122.6±48.5 | 119.2±21.9 | NS |
| 5 | 98.6±17.2 | 100.5±12.0 | 100.0±23.2 | NS |
| 10 | 93.8±15.7 | 91.8±27.0 | 83.0±11.1 | NS |
| 14 | 116.4±32.2 | 104.6±15.6 | 108.6±30.5 | NS |
| 19 | 92.4±10.5 | 95.0±13.1 | 88.8±25.5 | NS |
| 23 | 87.6±19.8 | 77.2±7.2 | 92.0±19.2 | NS |
| 27 | 81.6±7.7 | 89.6±14.6 | 101.0±13.0 | NS |

Table 7. Fasting plasma glucose levels (mg/dL).

Values are mean \pm SD. NS; not significant. [†]*P* values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

Table 8. White blood cell count $(10^2/\mu L)$.

| Time point (weeks) | Contro1 | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------|
| 0 | 45.8±11.4 | 61.8±17.1 | 65.0±16.3 | NS |
| 5 | 68.6±15.5 | 85.7±14.6 | 90.0±19.9 | NS |
| 10 | 67.6±12.5 | 70.8±23.0 | 78.4±11.1 | NS |
| 14 | 69.4±5.2 | 87.2±25.9 | 85.2±13.3 | NS |
| 19 | 68.0±14.8 | 69.6±12.4 | 83.4±13.7 | NS |
| 23 | 86.0±21.6 | 90.2±32.0 | 100.6±26.1 | NS |
| 27 | 61.8±15.2 | 75.8±18.6 | 62.6±34.4 | NS |

Values are the mean \pm SD. NS; not significant. [†]*P* values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.
| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| 0 | 0.0±0.0 | 0.3±0.5 | 0.0±0.0 | NS |
| 5 | 0.2±0.4 | 0.0±0.0 | 0.2±0.4 | NS |
| 10 | 0.3±0.4 | 0.2±0.4 | 0.3±0.4 | NS |
| 14 | 0.2±0.4 | 0.0±0.0 | 0.4±0.5 | NS |
| 19 | 0.0±0.0 | 0.0±0.0 | 0.0±0.0 | NS |
| 23 | 0.2±0.4 | 0.0±0.0 | 0.0±0.0 | NS |
| 27 | 0.1±0.2 | 0.5±0.5 | 0.4±0.5 | NS |

Table 9. The ratio of basophils to white blood cells (%).

Values are the mean \pm SD. NS; not significant. [†]*P* values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

Table 10. The ratio of eosinophils to white blood cells (%).

| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| 0 | 2.8±2.6 | 2.8±1.5 | 2.0±2.2 | NS |
| 5 | 3.6±1.9 | 3.0±1.0 | 3.0±1.4 | NS |
| 10 | 3.1±1.4 | 3.2±1.3 | 4.1±1.7 | NS |
| 14 | 3.0±1.9 | 3.3±1.3 | 2.4±1.7 | NS |
| 19 | 5.0±2.7 | 4.1±0.7 | 5.6±1.8 | NS |
| 23 | 4.6±1.7 | 6.2±2.7 | 4.4±0.9 | NS |
| 27 | 2.8±0.8 | 3.1±1.9 | 3.4±1.1 | NS |

Values are the mean \pm SD. NS; not significant. [†]*P* values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 0 | 52.8±16.0 | 59.6±1.8 | 54.0±15.5 | NS |
| 5 | 53.8±9.8 | 55.0±1.0 | 60.2±3.8 | NS |
| 10 | 43.1±10.3 | 41.2±6.3 | 45.5±2.6 | NS |
| 14 | 44.8±7.4 | 53.0±11.9 | 51.4±6.1 | NS |
| 19 | 52.2±7.0 | 48.1±5.4 | 44.8±4.1 | NS |
| 23 | 56.2±9.2 | 51.6±3.0 | 50.6±9.9 | NS |
| 27 | 58.8±13.8 | 60.1±4.4 | 48.0±18.5 | NS |

Table 11. The ratio of neutrophils to white blood cells (%).

Values are the mean \pm SD. NS; not significant.

 $^{\dagger}P$ values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

Table 12. The ratio of lymphocytes to white blood cells (%).

| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 0 | 37.5±11.0 | 31.1±4.0 | 36.8±13.1 | NS |
| 5 | 34.8±10.5 | 36.3±3.5 | 30.4±2.9 | NS |
| 10 | 45.2±7.4 | 45.8±6.0 | 44.7±3.0 | NS |
| 14 | 44.6±9.3 | 36.2±10.7 | 39.2±6.4 | NS |
| 19 | 36.9±6.9 | 42.0±4.9 | 43.4±3.8 | NS |
| 23 | 33.6±7.6 | 34.0±2.9 | 39.6±10.2 | NS |
| 27 | 32.3±13.5 | 29.5±5.0 | 41.2±19,5 | NS |

Values are the mean \pm SD. NS; not significant. [†]*P* values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

| Time point (weeks) | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| 0 | 7.0±3.4 | 6.3±1.5 | 7.3±3.9 | NS |
| 5 | 7.6±3.1 | 5.7±2.5 | 6.2±1.8 | NS |
| 10 | 8.0±3.2 | 9.6±1.8 | 5.4±2.1 | NS |
| 14 | 7.4±1.5 | 7.5±0.9 | 6.6±2.3 | NS |
| 19 | 6.0±2.1 | 5.8±1.1 | 6.2±1.8 | NS |
| 23 | 5.4±1.3 | 8.2±1.9 | 5.2±2.1 | N < .05 |
| 27 | 6.0±1.9 | 6.8±1.0 | 7.0±1.2 | NS |

Table 13. The ratio of monocytes to white blood cells (%).

Values are mean ± SD. NS; not significant.

[†]P values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

Table 14. Effect of diet on organ weight of miniature pigs (g).

| Organ | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | ₽ [‡] |
|---------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Heart | 149.7±5.5 | 163.3±4.2 | 153.7±22.9 | NS |
| Liver | 328.0±33.2 | 667.7±80.9 | 682.3±21.6 | <.001 |
| Kidney | 86.3±7.5 | 99.0±12.5 | 97.7±4.9 | NS |
| Stomach | 169.3±15.2 | 181.7±13.8 | 180.0±26.5 | NS |
| Spleen | 36.3±3.1 | 72.0±12.2 | 74.7±13.3 | <.01 |

Values are mean ± SD. NS; not significant.

[†]P values were calculated using a one-way factorial ANOVA.

| Whole blood at | 10 weeks | | Whole blood at | 19 weeks | | Whole blood at | 27 weeks | | White blood ce | White blood cells at 27 weeks | | |
|----------------|----------|--------|----------------|----------|--------|----------------|----------|--------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------|--|
| TIGR or | Gene | Fold | TIGR or | Gene | Fold | TIGR or | Gene | Fold | TIGR or | Gene | Fold | |
| Unigene ID | Symbol | Change | Unigene ID | Symbol | Change | Unigene ID | Symbol | Change | Unigene ID | Symbol | Change | |
| TC440907 | | 5.8 | TC440907 | | 7.7 | TC440907 | | 8.5 | TC410790 | IRG6 | 5.9 | |
| TC438339 | | 5.7 | TC506587 | | 6.2 | TC487165 | CXCL10 | 7.3 | TC448587 | ABCA1 | 5.3 | |
| TC448587 | ABCA1 | 5.3 | TC448587 | ABCA1 | 4.8 | TC441966 | UPK2 | 5.9 | TC440907 | | 5.1 | |
| TC414205 | | 3.8 | TC407415 | MX2 | 4.7 | TC410790 | IRG6 | 5.5 | TC447991 | | 4.3 | |
| TC407338 | MX1 | 3.4 | Ssc.51683 | ABCB4 | 4.3 | TC448587 | ABCA1 | 5.3 | TC438339 | | 4.0 | |
| TC432467 | | 3.1 | TC426495 | | 4.3 | TC438339 | | 5.1 | TC453638 | | 4.0 | |
| TC407865 | | 2.9 | TC438339 | | 4.0 | TC490662 | ROMO1 | 4.7 | TC450749 | | 3.5 | |
| TC448870 | | 2.9 | TC450749 | | 3.9 | TC506587 | | 4.4 | TC407748 | PCD1B | 3.4 | |
| TC467477 | SERPING1 | 2.7 | TC425970 | LGALS9 | 3.8 | TC427843 | | 3.6 | TC426495 | | 3.3 | |
| TC445197 | | 2.6 | TC450410 | | 3.8 | TC453638 | | 3.6 | Ssc.48813 | | 3.2 | |

Table 15. Top 10 significantly up-regulated genes in HFCD.

Table 16. Top 10 significantly up-regulated genes in HFCSD.

| Whole blood at | 10 weeks | | Whole blood at | 19 weeks | | Whole blood a | t 27 weeks | | White blood cells at 27 weeks | | ks |
|----------------|----------|--------|----------------|----------|--------|---------------|------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|
| TIGR or | Gene | Fold | TIGR or | Gene | Fold | TIGR or | Gene | Fold | TIGR or | Gene | Fold |
| Unigene ID | Symbol | Change | Unigene ID | Symbol | Change | Unigene ID | Symbol | Change | Unigene ID | Symbol | Change |
| TC448587 | ABCA1 | 4.0 | TC487165 | CXCL10 | 15.7 | NP411727 | GRK7 | 17.9 | Ssc.9135 | | 20.1 |
| TC438339 | | 4.0 | TC440907 | | 8.4 | TC490662 | ROMO1 | 16.9 | TC434357 | | 11.6 |
| TC440907 | | 3.8 | TC509090 | | 5.1 | TC417023 | | 15.6 | TC511398 | | 8.5 |
| TC411549 | | 3.1 | TC448587 | ABCA1 | 5.0 | TC480907 | | 13.5 | TC473927 | | 7.1 |
| TC472367 | | 2.4 | TC450410 | | 4.9 | TC444971 | | 13.3 | Ssc.24568 | | 6.7 |
| TC433245 | | 2.1 | TC438339 | | 4.5 | TC511837 | | 12.7 | TC448587 | ABCA1 | 5.7 |
| | | | TC470851 | | 4.5 | TC423285 | | 12.2 | TC438339 | | 4.9 |
| | | | TC480583 | | 4.4 | Ssc.46601 | | 11.8 | TC440907 | | 4.8 |
| | | | TC410790 | IRG6 | 4.3 | Ssc.56049 | | 11.5 | TC430783 | | 4.4 |
| | | | Ssc.5472 | | 4.1 | Ssc.35808 | | 11.2 | TC512775 | | 4.3 |

Table 17. Top 10 significantly down-regulated genes in HFCD.

| Whole blood at 10 we | eks | | Whole blood at 19 we | eks | | Whole blood at 27 we | eks | Whole blood at 27 weeks | | | White blood cells at 27 weeks | | |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| TIGR or Unigene ID | Gene Symbol | Fold Change | TIGR or Unigene ID | Gene Symbol | Fold Change | TIGR or Unigene ID | Gene Symbol | Fold Change | TIGR or Unigene ID | Gene Symbol | Fold Change | | |
| TC431538 | | 0.2 | TC418697 | | 0.1 | TC427825 | | 0.2 | TC415077 | | 0.2 | | |
| TC409256 | | 0.2 | TC502826 | | 0.1 | TC416132 | | 0.2 | TC417273 | | 0.2 | | |
| TC437880 | | 0.2 | TC407798 | WNT10B | 0.2 | TC463385 | | 0.2 | Ssc.25428 | | 0.3 | | |
| Ssc.31818 | | 0.2 | TC433727 | | 0.2 | Ssc.50105 | | 0.3 | Ssc.56656 | SQLE | 0.3 | | |
| TC434967 | | 0.3 | TC495620 | IL18 | 0.2 | Ssc.67286 | | 0.3 | TC436654 | | 0.4 | | |
| TC423396 | | 0.3 | TC503806 | | 0.2 | TC462549 | | 0.3 | TC491413 | | 0.4 | | |
| TC440884 | | 0.3 | TC468870 | | 0.2 | TC409660 | | 0.3 | TC407223 | MYO7A | 0.4 | | |
| TC443308 | | 0.3 | TC410474 | | 0.2 | TC414897 | CNN1 | 0.3 | TC478649 | PFKFB1 | 0.4 | | |
| Ssc.46725 | | 0.3 | TC466297 | MGP | 0.2 | TC423618 | | 0.3 | TC473047 | | 0.4 | | |
| Ssc.38146 | | 0.3 | TC449799 | | 0.2 | TC494014 | | 0.3 | TC446195 | | 0.4 | | |

| Whole blood at 10 we | eks | | Whole blood at 19 we | eeks | | Whole blood at 27 we | eeks | | White blood cells at 27 weeks | | |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| TIGR or Unigene ID | Gene Symbol | Fold Change | TIGR or Unigene ID | Gene Symbol | Fold Change | TIGR or Unigene ID | Gene Symbol | Fold Change | TIGR or Unigene ID | Gene Symbol | Fold Change |
| Ssc.56656 | SQLE | 0.3 | TC491388 | CCL3L1 | 0.2 | TC442299 | | 0.2 | TC491413 | | 0.2 |
| TC426935 | | 0.4 | TC407798 | WNT10B | 0.2 | TC407798 | WNT10B | 0.2 | TC414897 | CNN1 | 0.2 |
| Ssc.47297 | | 0.4 | TC486323 | | 0.3 | Ssc.56656 | SQLE | 0.2 | TC443738 | | 0.2 |
| Ssc.58815 | | 0.4 | Ssc.36434 | | 0.3 | TC471905 | | 0.3 | TC421743 | | 0.2 |
| Ssc.32174 | | 0.4 | TC452410 | MAOA | 0.3 | TC429709 | | 0.3 | TC412754 | | 0.2 |
| TC452974 | | 0.4 | Ssc.56656 | SQLE | 0.3 | TC472114 | | 0.3 | TC463385 | | 0.3 |
| TC477452 | | 0.5 | TC413430 | | 0.3 | TC423826 | | 0.3 | TC477521 | | 0.3 |
| TC418062 | | 0.5 | TC410474 | | 0.3 | TC458674 | | 0.3 | NP7655660 | | 0.3 |
| TC491240 | | 0.5 | TC509046 | | 0.3 | TC417970 | | 0.3 | TC442860 | TPM1 | 0.3 |
| TC495442 | | 0.5 | Ssc.61167 | | 0.3 | TC437991 | | 0.3 | TC417854 | | 0.3 |

Table 18. Top 10 significantly down-regulated genes in HFCSD.

Table 19. Functional classes of up- or down-regulated genes between HFCD and

control.

| Weeks | Induction/Repression | Category | Accession | Term | % | P-value |
|-------|----------------------|----------|------------|--|------|---------|
| 10 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0003924 | GTPase activity | 28.6 | 1.9e-02 |
| 10 | Induction | KEGG | | complement and coagulation cascades | 28.6 | 2.2e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0032555 | purine ribonucleotide binding | 13.6 | 3.9e-03 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0032553 | ribonucleotide binding | 13.6 | 3.9e-03 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0000166 | nucleotide binding | 15.3 | 4.0e-03 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0003924 | GTPase activity | 5.1 | 4.7e-03 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0017076 | purine nucleotide binding | 13.6 | 6.2e-03 |
| 19 | Induction | GO BP | GO:0019941 | modification-dependent protein catabolic process | 5.1 | 1.9e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO BP | GO:0043632 | modification-dependent macromolecule catabolic process | 5.1 | 1.9e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO BP | GO:0044257 | cellular protein catabolic process | 5.1 | 2.5e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO BP | GO:0051603 | proteolysis involved in cellular protein catabolic process | 5.1 | 2.5e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0032561 | guanyl ribonucleotide binding | 6.8 | 2.6e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0019001 | guanyl nucleotide binding | 6.8 | 2.6e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0005525 | GTP binding | 6.8 | 2.6e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO BP | GO:0030163 | protein catabolic process | 5.1 | 2.7e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO BP | GO:0044265 | cellular macromolecule catabolic process | 5.1 | 3.5e-02 |
| 19 | Induction | GO BP | GO:0009057 | macromolecule catabolic process | 5.1 | 4.9e-02 |
| 27 | Induction | KEGG | | Toll-like receptor signaling pathway | 14.3 | 1.4e-02 |
| 10 | Repression | GO MF | GO:0004857 | enzyme inhibitor activity | 11.1 | 1.6e-02 |
| 10 | Repression | GO MF | GO:0004866 | endopeptidase inhibitor activity | 8.3 | 4.8e-02 |
| 19 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0002684 | positive regulation of immune system process | 7.9 | 1.3e-02 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0007155 | cell adhesion | 11.1 | 9.6e-03 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0022610 | biological adhesion | 11.1 | 9.6e-03 |
| 27 | Repression | KEGG | | steroid biosynthesis | 5.6 | 4.0e-02 |

GO MF; GO molecular function, GO BP; GO biological process, Kegg; Kegg pathway.

Table 20. Functional classes of up- or down-regulated genes between HFCSD and control.

| Weeks | Induction/Repression | Category | Accession | Term | % | P-Value |
|-------|----------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------|------|---------|
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0000166 | nucleotide binding | 13 | 3.7e-04 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0032555 | purine ribonucleotide binding | 9.8 | 5.1e-03 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0032553 | ribonucleotide binding | 9.8 | 5.1e-03 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0017076 | purine nucleotide binding | 9.8 | 8.2e-03 |
| 19 | Induction | GO MF | GO:0005524 | ATP binding | 6.5 | 4.8e-02 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0016125 | sterol metabolic process | 8.5 | 4.9e-04 |
| 27 | Repression | KEGG | | steroid biosynthesis | 6.4 | 1.7e-03 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0008202 | steroid metabolic process | 8.5 | 2.3e-03 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0016126 | sterol biosynthetic process | 6.4 | 2.4e-03 |
| 27 | Repression | KEGG | | ECM-receptor interaction | 8.5 | 4.0e-03 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0008203 | cholesterol metabolic process | 6.4 | 9.9e-03 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0006694 | steroid biosynthetic process | 6.4 | 1,1e-02 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0055114 | oxidation reduction | 12.8 | 1.5e-02 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0007155 | cell adhesion | 8.5 | 1.7e-02 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0022610 | biological adhesion | 8.5 | 1.7e-02 |
| 27 | Repression | GO BP | GO:0008610 | lipid biosynthetic process | 6.4 | 4.8e-02 |

GO MF; GO molecular function, GO BP; GO biological process, Kegg; Kegg pathway.

Table 21. Predominant GO terms for which the ratio changed in HFCD and HFCSD.

| | | | Induction/Repressions | | Correlation coefficients between whole blood and white blood cells at weeks | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Accession | GO term | Number of genes | HFCD | HFCSD | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | | |
| GO:0006954 | inflammatory response | 155 | Repression | Induction/Repression | 0.92±0.03 | 0.97±0.02 | 0.95±0.02 | | |
| GO:000003 | reproduction | 310 | Induction | Induction/Repression | 0.91±0.02 | 0.93±0.03 | 0.88±0.03 | | |

Values are mean \pm SD.

Table 22. Predominant GO terms for which the ratio changed in HFCD.

| | | | | Correlation coefficients between whole blood and white blood cells at 27 v | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Accession | GO term | Number of genes | Induction/Repression | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | | |
| GO:0006936 | muscle contraction | 212 | Induction/Repression | 0.91±0.03 | 0.91±0.02 | 0.91±0.02 | | |
| GO:0007626 | locomotory behavior | 207 | Induction/Repression | 0.88±0.04 | 0.92±0.05 | 0.86±0.04 | | |
| GO:0007517 | muscle organ development | 199 | Repression | 0.94±0.05 | 0.95±0.02 | 0.94±0.02 | | |
| GO:0008152 | metabolic process | 145 | Repression | 0.93±0.02 | 0.92±0.05 | 0.96±0.03 | | |

Values are mean \pm SD.

Table 23. Predominant GO terms for which the ratio changed in HFCSD.

| | | | Correlation coefficients between whole blood and white | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | blood cells at 27 weeks | | | | |
| GO term | Number of | | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | | |
| | genes | | | | | | |
| Translation | 253 | Induction/Repression | 0.90±0.02 | 0.92±0.03 | 0.87±0.03 | | |
| embryonic development ending in birth or | 432 | Induction/Repression | 0.92±0.02 | 0.93±0.03 | 0.90±0.02 | | |
| egg hatching | | | | | | | |
| electron transport | 200 | Induction/Repression | 0.82±0.07 | 0.77±0.09 | 0.83±0.11 | | |
| transcription from RNA polymerase II | 209 | Induction/Repression | 0.96±0.02 | 0.98±0.01 | 0.97±0.01 | | |
| promoter | | | | | | | |
| positive regulation of growth rate | 207 | Induction | 0.92±0.02 | 0.92±0.04 | 0.86±0.04 | | |
| cell surface receptor linked signaling pathway | 185 | Repression | 0.97±0.01 | 0.93±0.06 | 0.97±0.01 | | |
| nematode larval development | 374 | Induction | 0.91±0.02 | 0.93±0.03 | 0.88±0.03 | | |
| intracellular protein transport | 191 | Induction | 0.91±0.06 | 0.86±0.08 | 0.86±0.07 | | |
| response to hypoxia | 189 | Repression | 0.95±0.02 | 0.95±0.03 | 0.92±0.02 | | |
| Growth | 332 | Induction | 0.91±0.02 | 0.93±0.03 | 0.88±0.03 | | |
| | GO term Translation embryonic development ending in birth or egg hatching electron transport transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter positive regulation of growth rate cell surface receptor linked signaling pathway nematode larval development intracellular protein transport response to hypoxia Growth | GO termNumber of genesTranslation253embryonic development ending in birth or egg hatching432electron transport200transcription from RNA polymerase II209promoter207cell surface receptor linked signaling pathway185nematode larval development374intracellular protein transport191response to hypoxia189Growth332 | GO term Number of genes Translation 253 Induction/Repression embryonic development ending in birth or egg hatching 432 Induction/Repression electron transport 200 Induction/Repression ranscription from RNA polymerase II 209 Induction/Repression promoter 207 Induction positive regulation of growth rate 207 Induction cell surface receptor linked signaling pathway 185 Repression nematode larval development 374 Induction intracellular protein transport 191 Induction response to hypoxia 189 Repression Growth 332 Induction | GO term Number of genes Correlation coefficional cells at 27 weigenes Translation 253 Induction/Repression 0.90±0.02 embryonic development ending in birth or egg hatching 432 Induction/Repression 0.92±0.02 egg hatching 200 Induction/Repression 0.92±0.02 ranscription from RNA polymerase II 209 Induction/Repression 0.96±0.02 promoter 207 Induction 0.92±0.02 real surface receptor linked signaling pathway 185 Repression 0.92±0.02 cell surface receptor linked signaling pathway 191 Induction 0.91±0.02 intracellular protein transport 191 Induction 0.91±0.02 Growth 332 Induction 0.91±0.02 | Correlation coefficients between whole be blood cells at 27 weeksGO termNumber of genesControlHFCDTranslation253Induction/Repression 0.90 ± 0.02 0.92 ± 0.03 embryonic development ending in birth or egg hatching432Induction/Repression 0.92 ± 0.02 0.93 ± 0.03 electron transport200Induction/Repression 0.92 ± 0.07 0.77 ± 0.09 transcription from RNA polymerase II209Induction/Repression 0.96 ± 0.02 0.98 ± 0.01 promoter 0.92 ± 0.02 0.92 ± 0.04 cell surface receptor linked signaling pathway185Repression 0.91 ± 0.02 0.93 ± 0.03 intracellular protein transport191Induction 0.91 ± 0.02 0.93 ± 0.03 for cowth189Repression 0.95 ± 0.02 0.95 ± 0.03 Growth332Induction 0.91 ± 0.02 0.93 ± 0.03 | | |

Values are mean \pm SD.

| | | | Correlation coefficients between whole blood and white blood cel | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | | | at 27 weeks | | | | |
| Accession | GO term | Number of | Control | HFCD | HFCSD | | |
| | | genes | | | | | |
| GO:0007165 | signal transduction | 527 | 0.89±0.04 | 0.89±0.07 | 0.80±0.05 | | |
| GO:0008283 | cell proliferation | 353 | 0.91±0.03 | 0.87±0.07 | 0.83±0.05 | | |
| GO:0007267 | cell-cell signaling | 321 | 0.94±0.02 | 0.95±0.03 | 0.92±0.02 | | |
| GO:0008285 | negative regulation of cell proliferation | 288 | 0.95±0.03 | 0.87±0.08 | 0.83±0.06 | | |
| GO:0006468 | protein amino acid phosphorylation | 266 | 0.95±0.01 | 0.94±0.02 | 0.90±0.03 | | |
| GO:0008284 | positive regulation of cell proliferation | 262 | 0.92±0.04 | 0.96±0.02 | 0.90±0.03 | | |
| GO:0006916 | anti-apoptosis | 224 | 0.91±0.03 | 0.93±0.03 | 0.89±0.02 | | |
| GO:0042493 | response to drug | 218 | 0.93±0.03 | 0.93±0.05 | 0.85±0.04 | | |
| GO:0007399 | nervous system development | 210 | 0.82±0.06 | 0.82±0.08 | 0.74±0.04 | | |
| GO:0006508 | Proteolysis | 210 | 0.90±0.04 | 0.93±0.03 | 0.94±0.04 | | |
| GO:0006470 | protein amino acid dephosphorylation | 198 | 0.91±0.07 | 0.90±0.09 | 0.84±0.06 | | |
| GO:0000122 | negative regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II | 193 | 0.93±0.03 | 0.91±0.04 | 0.89±0.03 | | |
| | promoter | | | | | | |
| GO:0015031 | protein transport | 190 | 0.95±0.03 | 0.96±0.02 | 0.94±0.03 | | |
| GO:0009887 | organ morphogenesis | 186 | 0.93±0.03 | 0.93±0.05 | 0.92±0.01 | | |
| GO:0006357 | regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II | 179 | 0.93±0.04 | 0.94±0.04 | 0.89±0.03 | | |
| | promoter | | | | | | |
| GO:0001764 | neuron migration | 174 | 0.96±0.01 | 0.95±0.02 | 0.93±0.01 | | |
| GO:0007264 | small GTPase mediated signal transduction | 157 | 0.97±0.01 | 0.94±0.05 | 0.99±0.00 | | |
| GO:0007275 | multicellular organismal development | 151 | 0.95±0.03 | 0.93±0.04 | 0.91±0.02 | | |
| GO:0007420 | brain development | 150 | 0.89±0.10 | 0.89±0.09 | 0.88±0.09 | | |
| GO:0043066 | negative regulation of apoptosis | 145 | 0.94±0.04 | 0.95±0.02 | 0.93±0.01 | | |

Table 24. Predominant GO terms for which the ratio unchanged in HFCD or HFCSD.

Values are mean \pm SD.

CHAPTER III

Evaluation of the physiology of miniature pig fed Shochu distillery waste using mRNA expression profiling

1 Introduction

Shochu is a traditional Japanese distilled spirit made from sweet potato, rice, barley, buckwheat, and sugar cane. The consumption of *imo-Shochu*, which is made from sweet potato, has rapidly increased in recent years. In the production process, large amounts of distillery waste remain after fermentation. In 1996, sea dumping of Shochu distillery waste (SDW) was prohibited by the London treaty. Therefore, development of a procedure for the disposal of this industrial waste has become a serious issue. One potential method that many researchers are addressing is to use SDW as a feed for livestock because of the abundance of useful nutrients and functional ingredients (Kawaida *et al.*, 1989, 1990, 1991; Mahfudz *et al.*, 1996; Kamizono *et al.*, 2010; Hayashi, 2012).

The miniature pig is a useful animal model for documentation of vital reactions and molecular mechanisms because 1) it can be used in long-term experiments owing to its long life-span and 2) its size and short reproduction cycle allow for easier breeding and handling (Vodicka et al., 2005; Gutierrez *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, the Swine Genome Sequencing Consortium (SGSC) was organized in 2003 to sequence the pig genome (Schook *et al.*, 2005), and pig genomics research was further enhanced because of the

completion of the pig genome map by members of the SGSC in 2009 (Archibald *et al.*, 2010). One miniature pig strain, the Clawn miniature pig, was established in 1978 by Nakanishi (Nakanishi *et al.*, 1991). It was bred and maintained in a specific closed group as an inbred laboratory animal; therefore, it has limited inter-individual differences in its physiology (Nakanishi *et al.*, 1991). In addition, our study revealed that gene expression patterns of miniature pigs become uniform after 20 weeks of age; therefore, after this age, they are physiological stable (Takahashi *et al.*, 2011). Variation in gene expression among individuals is lost after 20 weeks of age, and high reproducibility of experimental results is obtained with the Clawn miniature pig model.

Microarray analysis is a useful tool for rapidly obtaining a large amount of gene expression information. This technique allows for the identification of genes that vary in expression in response to various stresses. In toxicogenomic studies, it is one of the standard procedures for the evaluation of biological responses to stimuli, and the elucidation of the mechanisms behind these responses (Tong *et al.*, 2003; Pennie *et al.*, 2004; Williams-Devane *et al.*, 2009). Especially for animal models in long-term studies, microarray analysis of whole blood is an important tool for the evaluation of physiological state because of its availability and the non-invasive nature of sample collection. Whole blood is an important tissue, because it plays key roles in immune responses, metabolism, and communication between cells and the extracellular matrix in almost all tissues and organs. Moreover, because blood samples can be collected repeatedly from the same individual, it can be used for temporal assessments. Blood RNA contains substantial amounts of information regarding the expression of messenger RNA and non-coding functional RNA molecules. Numerous studies have revealed the function of blood as a biomarker of pathological changes occurring in other tissues, and for characterizing these pathological changes (Liew *et al.*, 2006; Bushel *et al.*, 2007; Staratschek-Jox *et al.*, 2009; Umbright *et al.*, 2010; Fricano *et al.*, 2011).

In this study, we performed a feeding experiment using the SDW diet and a pig model, and evaluated the effects of the diet on pig physiology.

2 Materials and methods

Animals and diet.

Eight female Clawn miniature pigs, aged 8–9 months, were housed individually in 1.5 m²-cages at the conventional facility of the breeder (Japan Farm Co., Ltd., Kagoshima, Japan). During this period, all animals were fed 450 g/day standard dry feed (Kodakara73, Marubeni Nisshin Feed Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), with free access to

water. The pigs were divided into two experimental groups: the control group (n = 4) was fed standard dry feed and the experimental group (n = 4) was fed the SDW feed. All pigs were provided with 450 g/day of either standard dry feed or SDW feed (composed of standard dry feed:water:SDW in a 1:1:1 ratio). The diet was administered to the pigs during an experimental period of three months. Fresh SDW was obtained from Okuchi Liquor Co., Ltd., (Kagoshima).

Body measurement.

Body weight and length were measured at the beginning and end of the feeding period. Body length (from the base of the neck to the base of the tail) was measured using a measuring tape.

Hematology and clinical chemistries.

All blood samples were collected from the superior vena cava at the end of the experimental period. Blood (prevented from clotting with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA]), plasma (with EDTA), and serum samples were collected for hematology and biochemical tests. Hematology and biochemical tests were conducted by the

Clinical Pathology Laboratory, Inc. (<u>http://www.patho.co.jp/index.html</u>) (Kagoshima, Japan) using standard clinical methods.

Preparation of samples and RNA extraction.

For microarray analysis, 16–20 mL of blood was collected from each subject, placed in two PAXgene[™] tubes (Qiagen/ BD GmbH, Crawley, U.K.), incubated at 18–25°C for 4 h for RNA stabilization, and stored at -80°C. Total RNA was extracted from whole blood using the PAXgene[™] Blood RNA System Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Quantification of extracted RNA was performed with a NanoDrop[®] ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). Quality of the purified RNA was confirmed on an Agilent[®] 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA).

Microarray assay.

Labeled cDNA with fluorescent Cyanine 3-CTP was used for hybridization onto porcine gene expression microarray template slides (44K) (#G2519F#20109, Agilent Technologies) using the *in situ* Hybridization Kit Plus (Agilent Technologies). Array slides were incubated at 65°C for 17 h in microarray hybridization chambers (Agilent Technologies). After hybridization, microarray slides were washed following the manufacturer's protocol, and were scanned with an Agilent DNA Microarray Scanner (#G2565BA, Agilent Technologies) at 5-µm resolution. The scanned images were analyzed using Agilent Feature Extraction Software, version 9.5.3.1 (Agilent Technologies).

Analysis of microarray data.

The signal intensity of each gene was globally normalized using quantile normalization. Background levels were defined by the spots outside of the gene probing area. Using the Agilent microarray slides, spots of each probe were divided into two groups: "absent" and "present" using the flag values provided by the scanner. "Absent" spots had a signal intensity that was less than that of the background level, whereas the rest of the spots were designated as "present". Only data for "present" spots were used for the analysis. The data were analyzed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Correlation data were converted using the z-Fisher transformation, and were tested for significant differences using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey's *post-hoc* test among all groups, and Welch's *t*-tests between two groups. A probability of a false positive of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The expression data were logarithm-transformed and grouped using hierarchical cluster analysis in the Gene Cluster 3.0 program (de Hoon *et al.*, 2004) available from: <u>http://bonsai.hgc.jp/~mdehoon/software/cluster/</u>. To create the hierarchical clustering map, we used the Java Treeview program (Saldanha, 2004) available from: http://jtreeview.sourceforge.net/.

Ethical considerations.

All experimental protocols were approved by the Committee for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals at AIST (Permit Number: 2016-055).

3 Results

Physical examination.

There was no difference in preference between the control and SDW diets; thus, the same volume of feed was consumed by both groups. Temporal changes in mean body length and weight for the two dietary groups are shown in Figure. 9. Body length and weight did not differ according to the dietary treatment at any time, and the variables

increased smoothly in both groups during the feeding period. Paired *t*-test analysis for dietary-related variation revealed no significant difference at any time.

Hematological analysis.

Table 25 lists the results of the hematological analysis. Hematopoietic parameters are some of the most sensitive markers to assess toxicity in humans and non-human animals (Liju et al., 2013). Very few differences were observed in the complete blood count test (white blood cell count, red blood cell count, hemoglobin concentration, mean corpuscular volume, and platelet count) between the two groups. Based on the blood biochemical analysis, no phenotypic parameter changes, such as hepatic dysfunction (total bilirubin, aspartate transaminase, alanine transaminase, alkaline phosphatase, and γ -glutamyltransferase), renal dysfunction (urea nitrogen, creatinine, and uric acid), or pancreatic dysfunction (serum amylase) were caused by toxicity of the SDW diet. Additionally, the parameters indicating the nutritional state of the animals (e.g., total protein, total cholesterol, and albumin) were normal in the SDW diet-treated pigs. There was also no abnormality in lipid metabolism (triglycerides), glycometabolism (blood glucose), clotting function (prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and fibrinogen level), or electrolyte concentration (Na, Cl, K, and Ca) in the SDW diet-fed group. Statistically significant differences, according to *t*-test analysis, between the values from the control and SDW groups were not observed for any item.

Microarray analysis of gene expression profiles.

RNA analyses were conducted with blood samples obtained at the end of the feeding period to characterize the dietary effects on gene expression profiles in the whole blood of miniature pigs. Each RNA sample was analyzed by a porcine gene expression microarray consisting of 43,603 oligonucleotide probes.

We compared the Pearson's correlation coefficients for gene expression profiles between the control and SDW groups. High correlations were found not only within the same group, but also between the two groups. Figure. 10 shows a scatterplot of the microarray intensities of the two groups. The resulting data showed a high degree of correlation (r = 0.9748). Therefore, DNA microarray analysis indicated that the global gene-expression patterns were similar between the control and SDW groups.

Reproducibility and variation of mRNA expression among stress feeding factors.

To compare other feeding stress factors, we evaluated microarray data of five groups: control; SDW; high-fat and high-cholesterol diet (HFCD) (Takahashi *et al.*, 2012);

high-fat, high-cholesterol, and high-sucrose diet (HFCSD) (Takahashi *et al.*, 2012); and sodium azide (AZIDE). The data were already deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, accession number GSE78769. AZIDE was administered orally to the pigs over 20 weeks. There were no changes in hematological or biochemical parameters for the administered dose of 300 μ g/kg, one hundredth of the LD50.

A color-coded pairwise correlation matrix is displayed in Figure. 11. Figure. 12 shows the Pearson's correlation coefficients for gene expression profiles among individuals within the same dietary group; this figure displays the inter-individual differences in gene expression profiles under the same dietary conditions during the dietary period. Correlation coefficients varied from 0.91 to 0.99, with an average of 0.96 and an S.D. of 0.02. No significant differences in correlation coefficients within dietary groups were observed for any gene product after the treatments (Figures. 11, 12). This indicated uniformity in the dietary experiences within each group, and it was possible to compare the gene expression profiles among the groups.

Next, we analyzed expression profile correlations among the different diet groups (Figure. 13). First, we confirmed the high correlation between the control and SDW group individuals; the correlation factors varied from 0.93 to 1.00, with an average of

0.97 and an S.D. of 0.02. The values indicated similarity between the control and SDW groups, and suggested that the SDW diet did not change the RNA expression profile of the pigs.

The correlation factors between the control and the two types of hyperlipidemia-induced diet groups ranged from 0.87 to 0.96, with an average of 0.93 and S.D. of 0.03 (control vs. HFCD), and 0.83 to 0.96, with an average of 0.91 and S.D. of 0.04 (control vs. HFCSD). In the comparison of the control vs. SDW, correlations for whole blood expression profiles were statistically significant according to Tukey's *post-hoc* tests. A low correlation coefficient was obtained for the control vs. hyperlipidemia-induced diet groups (p < 0.01).

For a toxicity evaluation of the SDW diet, we investigated the correlation coefficient between the control and AZIDE groups. The whole blood correlation coefficients between the control and AZIDE group was 0.82 to 0.88, with an average of 0.85 and an S.D. of 0.02.

Significant differences were observed between the control vs. SDW and the control vs. AZIDE comparisons, according to Tukey's *post-hoc* test (p < 0.01), and this indicates that the gene expression profiles of the SDW group and AZIDE groups were strikingly different.

To compare with the various food stress factors (i.e., HFCD, HFCSD, and AZIDE), we conducted a cluster analysis of the microarray expression data. As shown in Figure. 14, the data were roughly divided into two groups: the control and SDW data were classified in the same cluster, which was separated from the AZIDE cluster.

4 Discussion

In this study, we investigated the biochemical characterization and the gene expression profile of blood from pigs fed an SDW diet for the evaluation of their overall health status. There were no differences in growth or blood biochemistry between the control and SDW group pigs, indicating that the SDW diet maintained sufficient nutritional and good physiological condition of pigs.

In the assessment of gene expression, we conducted a microarray analysis with whole blood. For gene expression levels between the two groups (control and SDW), we found high correlations. Interestingly, in the SDW groups, the number of genes with fold changes in expression greater than 2.0 (p < 0.05) or less than 0.5 (p < 0.05) was only 56 (47 up-regulated and nine down-regulated genes) compared to the control group. This result indicated that the gene expression pattern of the SDW group was nearly identical to that of the control group, suggesting that the SDW diet did not affect the gene expression of the pigs. For toxicity evaluation of the SDW diet, we compared the gene profile to that of the AZIDE group. AZIDE is an environmental pollutant. Because AZIDE is a readily biodegradable material and has no discharge regulation, some researchers have used AZIDE for toxicity examination (Rippen et al., 1996; Berndt et al., 2001; Massie et al., 2003). Through microarray analyses, we found that 4,521 genes (2,312 up-regulated and 2,209 down-regulated genes) were significantly altered in the AZIDE group compared to the control group; yet, very few genes were altered in the SDW group. Pistol et al. (2015) revealed differentially expressed genes as an effect of a zearalenone mycotoxin-contaminated diet in pigs. Similarly, we detected differentially expressed genes, including TLR7 (toll-like receptor 7) and ID2 (inhibitor of DNA binding 2), in the AZIDE-treated group; in contrast, these genes were not altered in the SDW group. Because these characteristic biomarker genes for toxic stress were unchanged in the SDW group, the SDW diet did not appear to be toxic or stressful for pigs.

The results of our cluster analysis also clearly demonstrated that the SDW-fed pigs had an expression pattern similar to that by normal feeding and, by contrast, the hyperlipidemia-inducing diets and the toxic AZIDE diet led to different gene expression patterns. Many researchers have established the evaluation method for physiology and health of pigs through gene expression profiling by microarray analysis (da Costa *et al.*, 2004; Moser *et al.*, 2004; Niewold *et al.*, 2005; Pena *et al.*, 2014). We previously revealed the uniformity of the gene expression profile in miniature pigs older than 20 weeks of age (Takahashi *et al.*, 2011), and reported the effectiveness of the microarray in the evaluation of physiological changes caused by the diet of the pigs (Takahashi *et al.*, 2012). Our results support the efficacy of whole blood RNA microarray analysis for generating information concerning physiological changes resulting from the diet of Clawn miniature pigs. Furthermore, they indicate that whole blood RNA microarray analysis could be a useful tool for discriminating safe feed.

The pig populace in the Kagoshima Prefecture, Japan's top pig farming prefecture, was 1,332,000 in 2015 (Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries reference: <u>http://www.maff.go.jp/</u>). The standard dry feed amount required per pig throughout the fattening period is approximately 295 kg (Kawaida *et al.*, 2007). When we substitute the SDW diet (feed intake: 266 kg/pig) for standard dry feed throughout the fattening period, we could use approximately 350,000 tons of SDW as a biomass resource. In this study, we fed SDW to animals for 3 months. The length of this period is equivalent to the fattening period. Even if we completely substituted standard dry feed with

SDW-containing feed, we could efficiently feed with SDW. The use of SDW-treated feed as a substitute feed could thus become one of the best solutions to the handling of the industrial waste, SDW. To establish the safety of SDW made from other sources, such as rice and barley, further investigation would be necessary.

In conclusion, our study clarified the physiological invariance of pigs fed the SDW diet, and showed that the SDW diet is an available and safe feed substitute for standard dry feed.





□ control body length, △SDW body length, ■ control body weight, ▲SDW body weight.

Figure. 10 Scatter plot of gene expression profiles of whole blood



The global gene-expression patterns were compared between the control and SDW groups with oligonucleotide DNA microarrays. High

correlations were found between the two groups.

| ZIDE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.93 |
|------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.98 | 0.91 |
| D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.85 |
| IFCS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.97 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.87 |
| Т | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.82 |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.86 |
| IFCE | | | | | | | | | | | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.89 |
| - | | | | | | | | | | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.94 |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.83 |
| Ň | | | | | | | | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.83 |
| SD | | | | | | | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.82 |
| | | | | | | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 06.0 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
| | | | | | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 06.0 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.86 |
| trol | | | | 0.94 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.85 | 06.0 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
| con | | | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.87 | 0.88 | 0.86 |
| | | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 06.0 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.82 |
| | control | | | | | MOR | | | HFCD | | | HFCSD | | | AZIDE | | |

Figure. 11 Correlation matrix of gene expression profiles of whole blood

Γ

Triangular heat map representing the pairwise correlation coefficients. Correlation coefficients increases from green to red.

1.00

0.80





The top and bottom of the boxes denote the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively. The lower and upper whiskers indicate the minimum and maximum values of the data. SDW, Shochu distillery waste; HFCD, high-fat and high-cholesterol diet; HFCSD,

high-fat, high-cholesterol and high-sucrose diet; AZIDE, sodium azide.



Figure. 13 Summary of dietary-related correlation coefficients among different diet groups

minimum and maximum values of the data. SDW, Shochu distillery waste; HFCD, high-fat and high-cholesterol diet; HFCSD, high-fat, high-cholesterol and high-sucrose diet; AZIDE, sodium azide.

The top and bottom of the boxes denote the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively. The lower and upper whiskers indicate the





The cluster analysis was performed using a hierarchical approach with the complete linkage-method. SDW, Shochu distillery waste;

HFCD, high-fat and high-cholesterol diet; HFCSD, high-fat, high-cholesterol and high-sucrose diet; AZIDE, sodium azide.

| test item | | | control (average ± S.D.) | SDW (average ± S.D.) | p-value |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| | WBC, 10 ² /µL | white blood cell count | 107.0 ± 35.6 | 107.0 ± 13.2 | NS |
| | RBC, 10 ² /µL | red blood cell count | 700 .0± 30.3 | 637.0 ± 42.8 | NS |
| complete blood count | HGB, g/dL | hemoglobin concentration | 15.2 ± 1.5 | 15.1 ± 0.7 | NS |
| | MCV, fL | mean corpuscular volume | 50.0 ± 4.0 | 50.0 ± 3.0 | NS |
| | PLT, 10 ⁴ /µl | platelet count | 31.8 ± 1.4 | 27.2 ± 9.8 | NS |
| | total bilirubin, mg/dL | | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.0 | NS |
| | AST(GOT), IU/L | aspartate transaminase | 47.0 ± 23.7 | 54.0 ± 49.7 | NS |
| liver function | ALT(GPT), IU/L | alanine transaminase | 30.0 ± 8.1 | 30.0 ± 19.2 | NS |
| | ALP, IU/L | alkaline phosphatase | 461.0 ± 337.0 | 758.0 ± 559.9 | NS |
| | g-GTP, IU/L | γ -glutamyltransferase | 71.0 ± 7.4 | 86.0 ± 30.0 | NS |
| pancreatic function | serum amylase, IU/L | | 5130.0 ± 774.7 | 5701.0 ± 877.3 | NS |
| | BUN, mg/dL | urea nitrogen | 22.6 ± 1.5 | 21.2 ± 3.0 | NS |
| renal function | creatinine, mg/dL | | 1.0 ± 0.2 | 1.0 ± 0.3 | NS |
| | uric acid, mg/dL | | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.3 ± 0.1 | NS |
| | total protein, g/dL | | 7.8 ± 0.9 | 8.1 ± 1.5 | NS |
| nourishment state | total cholesterol, mg/dL | | 77.0 ± 8.6 | 96.0 ± 35.3 | NS |
| | albumin, g/dL | | 5.0 ± 0.4 | 5.0 ± 0.7 | NS |
| lipid metabolism | TG, mg/dL | triglyceride | 17.0 ± 7.1 | 47.0 ± 38.7 | NS |
| glycometabolism | blood glucose, mg/dL | | 77.0 ± 3.5 | 73.0 ± 8.2 | NS |
| | PT, sec | prothrombin time | 17.0 ± 1.0 | 17.0 ± 1.7 | NS |
| clotting function | APTT, sec | activated partial thromboplastin time | 20.0 ± 0.0 | 20.0 ± 0.0 | NS |
| | Fbg, mg/dl | fibrinogen level | 191.0 ± 24.4 | 213.0 ± 60.9 | NS |
| | Na, mEq/L | | 142.0 ± 1.0 | 144.0 ± 3.1 | NS |
| electrolyte | Cl, mEq/L | | 98.0 ± 1.5 | 100.0 ± 3.5 | NS |
| ciccu oryte | K, mEq/L | | 6.2 ± 1.2 | 6.7 ± 3.3 | NS |
| | Ca, mg/dL | | 11.5 ± 0.2 | 11.2 ± 0.8 | NS |

Table 25. Results of hematological analysis.

Values are the average \pm S.D. NS; not significant. *p*-values were calculated using Welch's *t*-tests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am deeply appreciate my supervisor Prof. Hitoshi Iwahashi, Gifu University for his valuable and guidance.

I am very much obliged to Dr. Junko Takahashi, Biomedical Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) for her thoughtful comments and immense help thoughout the course of my Ph.D. studies.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Shinji Tokuyama, Associate Professor of Shizuoka University, for the critical comments and advice while preparing this manuscript.

I would also like to thank Prof. Osamu Doi and Prof. Shingo Kawai for giving me many valuable suggestions and comments for my research.

I express my thank to Dr. Takehiro Himaki for kindly advice and backup.

I would like to thank Prof. Kazuhiko Yamada and Associate Prof. Hisashi Sahara, Division of Organ Replacement and Xenotransplantation Surgery, Center for Advanced Biomedical Science and Swine Research, Kagoshima University for give me key opportunity to make researches of experimental animals.

I wish to thank Okuchi Liquor Co., Ltd. for supplying the Shochu distillery waste, and Mr. Junichi Tottori and Mr. Takehiro Iwanaga with Japan Farm Co., Ltd. for breeding the animals and collecting the data.

I would like to say sincere gratitude to my parents and husband's family to support and warmly watch over the progress of me.

And, finally, I express my most heartful thanks to my husband Kohei for his understanding and great supporting to get Ph.D.

REFERENCES

- 't Hoen, P.A.C., Ariyurek, Y., Thygesen, H.H., Vreugdenhil, E., Vossen, R.H.A.M., de, Menezes. R.X., Boer, J.M., van, Ommen. G.J.B., and den, Dunnen. J.T. (2008)
 Deep sequencing-based expression analysis shows major advances in robustness, resolution and inter-lab portability over five microarray platforms. Nucleic. Acids. Res. 36, e141.
- 't Hoen, P.A.C., Friedländer, M.R., Almlöf, J., Sammeth, M., Pulyakhina, I., Anvar, S.Y., Laros, J.F.J., Buermans, H.P.J., Karlberg, O., Brännvall, M., The GEUVADIS Consortium, den, Dunnen. J.T., van, Ommen. G.J.B., Gut, I.G., Guigó, R., Estivill, X., Syvänen, A.C., Dermitzakis, E.T., and Lappalainen, T. (2013) Reproducibility of high-throughput mRNA and small RNA sequencing across laboratories. Nat. Biotechnol. 31, 1015~1022.
- Afshari, C.A., Nuwaysir, E.F., and Barrett, J.C. (1999) Application of complementary DNA microarray technology to carcinogen identification, toxicology, and drug safety evaluation. Cancer Res. 59, 4759~4760.
- Aguado-Urda, M., Gibello, A., Blanco, M. del. M., Fernández-Garayzábal, J.F., López-Alonso, V., and López-Campos, G.H. (2013) Global transcriptome analysis of Lactococcus garvieae strains in response to temperature. PLoS One. 8, e79692.

Aibar, S., Fontanillo, C., Droste, C., Roson-Burgo, B., Campos-Laborie, F.J.,

Hernandez-Rivas, J.M., and De, Las. Rivas. J. (2015) Analyse multiple disease subtypes and build associated gene networks using genome-wide expression profiles. BMC Genomics. 16 Suppl 5, S3.

- Alonso-Martin, S., Rochat, A., Mademtzoglou, D., Morais, J., de Reyniès, A., Auradé,
 F., Chang, T.H.T., Zammit, P.S., and Relaix, F. (2016) Gene expression profiling of muscle stem cells identifies novel regulators of postnatal myogenesis. Front.
 Cell Dev. Biol. 4, 58.
- Alonso-Navarro, H., Jimenez-Jimenez, F.J., Garcia-Martin, E., and Agundez, J.A.G. (2014) Genomic and pharmacogenomic biomarkers of Parkinson's disease. Curr. Drug Metab. 15, 129~181.
- Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010) Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol. 11, R106.
- Archibald, A.L., Bolund, L., Churcher, C., Fredholm, M., Groenen, M.A.M., Harlizius,
 B., Lee, K.T., Milan, D., Rogers, J., Rothschild, M.F., Uenishi, H., Wang, J.,
 Schook, L.B., and Swine Genome Sequencing Consortium (2010) Pig genome sequence--analysis and publication strategy. BMC Genomics. 11, 438.

Balakrishnan, C.N., Lin, Y.C., London, S.E., and Clayton, D.F. (2012) RNA-seq

transcriptome analysis of male and female zebra finch cell lines. Genomics. 100, 363~369.

- Beane, J., Vick, J., Schembri, F., Anderlind, C., Gower, A., Campbell, J., Luo, L.,
 Zhang, X.H., Xiao, J., Alekseyev, Y.O., Wang, S., Levy, S., Massion, P.P.,
 Lenburg, M., and Spira, A. (2011) Characterizing the impact of smoking and lung
 cancer on the airway transcriptome using RNA-Seq. Cancer Prev. Res. (Phila). 4,
 803~817.
- Benson, M., and Breitling, R. (2006) Network theory to understand microarray studies of complex diseases. Curr. Mol. Med. 6, 695~701.
- Berger, M.F., Levin, J.Z., Vijayendran, K., Sivachenko, A., Adiconis, X., Maguire, J.,
 Johnson, L.A., Robinson, J., Verhaak, R.G., Sougnez, C., Onofrio, R.C., Ziaugra,
 L., Cibulskis, K., Laine, E., Barretina, J., Winckler, W., Fisher, D.E., Getz, G.,
 Meyerson, M., Jaffe, D.B., Gabriel, S.B., Lander, E.S., Dummer, R., Gnirke, A.,
 Nusbaum, C., and Garraway, L.A. (2010) Integrative analysis of the melanoma
 transcriptome. Genome Res. 20, 413~427.
- Berndt, J.D., Callaway, N.L., and Gonzalez-Lima, F. (2001) Effects of chronic sodium azide on brain and muscle cytochrome oxidase activity: a potential model to investigate environmental contributions to neurodegenerative diseases. J. Toxicol.

Environ. Health. A. 63, 67~77.

- Blekhman, R., Marioni, J.C., Zumbo, P., Stephens, M., and Gilad, Y. (2010) Sex-specific and lineage-specific alternative splicing in primates. Genome Res. 20, 180~189.
- Bougas, B., Normandeau, E., Pierron, F., Campbell, P.G.C., Bernatchez, L., and Couture, P. (2013) How does exposure to nickel and cadmium affect the transcriptome of yellow perch (Perca flavescens)--results from a 1000 candidate-gene microarray. Aquat. Toxicol. 142~143, 355~364.
- Bowles, D.K., Heaps, C.L., Turk, J.R., Maddali, K.K., and Price, E.M. (2004) Hypercholesterolemia inhibits L-type calcium current in coronary macro-, not microcirculation. J. Appl. Physiol. 96, 2240~2248.
- Brazma, A., Hingamp, P., Quackenbush, J., Sherlock, G., Spellman, P., Stoeckert, C.,
 Aach, J., Ansorge, W., Ball, C.A., Causton, H.C., Gaasterland, T., Glenisson, P.,
 Holstege, F., Kim, I., Markowitz, V., Matese, J., Parkinson, H., Robinson, A.,
 Sarkans, U., Schulze-Kremer, S., Stewart, J., Taylor, R., Vilo, J., and Vingron, M.
 (2001) Minimum information about a microarray experiment (MIAME)-toward
 standards for microarray data. Nat Genet. 29, 365~371.
- Briggs, J., Paoloni, M., Chen, Q.R., Wen, X., Khan, J., and Khanna, C. (2011) A
compendium of canine normal tissue gene expression. PLoS One. 6, e17107.

- Brink, T.C., Demetrius, L., Lehrach, H., and Adjaye, J. (2009) Age-related transcriptional changes in gene expression in different organs of mice support the metabolic stability theory of aging. Biogerontology. 10, 549~564.
- Bullard, J.H., Purdom, E., Hansen, K.D., and Dudoit, S. (2010) Evaluation of statistical methods for normalization and differential expression in mRNA-Seq experiments.
 BMC Bioinformatics. 11, 94.
- Burgoon, L.D., Eckel-Passow, J.E., Gennings, C., Boverhof, D.R., Burt, J.W., Fong,C.J., and Zacharewski, T.R. (2005) Protocols for the assurance of microarray dataquality and process control. Nucleic Acids Res. 33, e172.
- Bushel, P.R., Heinloth, A.N., Li, J., Huang, L., Chou, J.W., Boorman, G.A., Malarkey,
 D.E., Houle, C.D., Ward, S.M., Wilson, R.E., Fannin, R.D., Russo, M.W., Watkins,
 P.B., Tennant, R.W., and Paules, R.S. (2007) Blood gene expression signatures
 predict exposure levels. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104, 18211~18216.
- Caetano-Anolles, K., Seo, M., Rodriguez-Zas, S., Oh, J.D., Han, J.Y., Lee, K., Park,
 T.S., Shin, S., Jiao, Jiao. Z., Ghosh, M., Jeong, D.K., Cho, S., Kim, H., Song, K.D.,
 and Lee, H.K. (2015) Comprehensive identification of sexual
 dimorphism-associated differentially expressed genes in two-way factorial

designed RNA-Seq data on Japanese Quail (Coturnix coturnix japonica). PLoS One. 10, e0139324.

- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. (2013) Comprehensive molecular characterization of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Nature. 499, 43~49.
- Cartularo, L., Kluz, T., Cohen, L., Shen, S.S., and Costa, M. (2016) Molecular mechanisms of malignant transformation by low dose cadmium in normal human bronchial epithelial cells. PLoS One. 11, e0155002.
- Chan, E.T., Quon, G.T., Chua, G., Babak, T., Trochesset, M., Zirngibl, R.A., Aubin, J.,Ratcliffe, M.J.H., Wilde, A., Brudno, M., Morris, Q.D., and Hughes, T.R. (2009)Conservation of core gene expression in vertebrate tissues. J. Biol. 8, 33.
- Chen, J.J., Hsueh, H.M., Delongchamp, R.R., Lin, C.J., and Tsai, C.A. (2007)
- Reproducibility of microarray data: a further analysis of microarray quality control (MAQC) data. BMC Bioinformatics, 8, 412.
- Chin, K.V., and Kong, A.N.T. (2002) Application of DNA microarrays in pharmacogenomics and toxicogenomics. Pharm. Res. 19, 1773~1778.
- Cobb, J.P., Mindrinos, M.N., Miller-Graziano, C., Calvano, S.E., Baker, H.V., Xiao, W.,Laudanski, K., Brownstein, B.H., Elson, C.M., Freeman, B., Gamelli, R., Gibran,N., Harbrecht, B., Hayden, D.L., Heagy, W., Heimbach, D., Herndon, D.N.,

- Horton, J., Hunt, J., Laudanski, K., Lederer, J., Lowry, S.F., Maier, R.V., Mannick,
 J., McKinley, B., Miller-Graziano, C., Mindrinos, M.N., Minei, J., Moldawer, L.L.,
 Moore, E., Moore, F., Munford, R., Nathens, A., O'keefe, G., Purdue, G., Rahme,
 L., Remick, D., Sailors, M., Schoenfeld, D.A., Shapiro, M., Silver, G., Smith, R.,
 Stephanopoulos, G., Stormo, G., Tompkins, R.G., Toner, M., Warren, S., West, M.,
 Wolfe, S., Xiao, W., Young, V.; Inflammation and Host Response to Injury
 Large-Scale Collaborative Research Program (2005) Application of genome-wide
 expression analysis to human health and disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.
 102, 4801~4806.
- Coble, D.J., Fleming, D., Persia, M.E., Ashwell, C.M., Rothschild, M.F., Schmidt, C.J., and Lamont, S.J. (2014) RNA-seq analysis of broiler liver transcriptome reveals novel responses to high ambient temperature. BMC Genomics. 15, 1084.
- Conforto, T.L., and Waxman, D.J. (2012) Sex-specific mouse liver gene expression: genome-wide analysis of developmental changes from pre-pubertal period to young adulthood. Biol. Sex Differ. 3, 9.
- Cossins, A., Fraser, J., Hughes, M., and Gracey, A. (2006) Post-genomic approaches to understanding the mechanisms of environmentally induced phenotypic plasticity. J. Exp. Biol. 209, 2328~2336.

Costa, V., Aprile, M., Esposito, R., and Ciccodicola, A. (2013) RNA-Seq and human complex diseases: recent accomplishments and future perspectives. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 21, 134~142.

Crick, F. (1970) Central dogma of molecular biology. Nature. 227, 561~563.

- D'Angelo, G., Di, Rienzo. T., and Ojetti, V. (2014) Microarray analysis in gastric cancer: A review. World J. Gastroenterol. 20, 11972.
- da Costa, N., McGillivray, C., Bai, Q., Wood, J.D., Evans, G., and Chang, K.C. (2004) Restriction of dietary energy and protein induces molecular changes in young porcine skeletal muscles. J. Nutr. 134, 2191~2199.
- Danielsson, F., James, T., Gomez-Cabrero, D., and Huss, M. (2015) Assessing the consistency of public human tissue RNA-seq data sets. Brief. Bioinform. 16, 941~949.
- Darbani, B., and Stewart, C.N. (2014) Reproducibility and reliability assays of the gene expression-measurements. J. Biol. Res. (Thessalonikē, Greece). 21, 3.
- Datson, N.A., Morsink, M.C., Atanasova, S., Armstrong, V.W., Zischler, H.,
 Schlumbohm, C., Dutilh, B.E., Huynen, M. A, Waegele, B., Ruepp, A., de Kloet,
 E.R., and Fuchs, E. (2007) Development of the first marmoset-specific DNA
 microarray (EUMAMA): a new genetic tool for large-scale expression profiling in

a non-human primate. BMC Genomics. 8, 190.

- de Hoon, M.J.L., Imoto, S., Nolan, J., and Miyano, S. (2004) Open source clustering software. Bioinformatics. 20, 1453~1454.
- De Keyzer, D., Karabina, S.A., Wei, W., Geeraert, B., Stengel, D., Marsillach, J., Camps, J., Holvoet, P., and Ninio, E. (2009) Increased PAFAH and oxidized lipids are associated with inflammation and atherosclerosis in hypercholesterolemic pigs. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 29, 2041~2046.
- de Smet, B.J., Kuntz, R.E., van der Helm, Y.J., Pasterkamp, G., Borst, C., and Post, M.J. (1997) Relationship between plaque mass and neointimal hyperplasia after stent placement in Yucatan micropigs. Radiology. 203, 484~488.
- Degrelle, S.A. (2015) Transcriptome analysis of primary bovine extra-embryonic cultured cells. Genomics Data. 6, 110~111.
- Dennis, G., Sherman, B.T., Hosack, D.A., Yang, J., Gao, W., Lane, H.C., and Lempicki,R.A. (2003) DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and IntegratedDiscovery. Genome Biol. 4, P3.
- DeRisi, J., Penland, L., Brown, P.O., Bittner, M.L., Meltzer, P.S., Ray, M., Chen, Y., Su, Y.A., and Trent, J.M. (1996) Use of a cDNA microarray to analyse gene expression patterns in human cancer. Nat. Genet. 14, 457~460.

- Deshmukh, R., Sonah, H., Patil, G., Chen, W., Prince, S., Mutava, R., Vuong, T., Valliyodan, B., and Nguyen, H.T. (2014) Integrating omic approaches for abiotic stress tolerance in soybean. Front. Plant Sci. 5, 244.
- Devaux, Y., Azuaje, F., Vausort, M., Yvorra, C., and Wagner, D.R. (2010) Integrated protein network and microarray analysis to identify potential biomarkers after myocardial infarction. Funct. Integr. Genomics. 10, 329~337.
- Driver, A.M., Peñagaricano, F., Huang, W., Ahmad, K.R., Hackbart, K.S., Wiltbank,
 M.C., and Khatib, H. (2012) RNA-Seq analysis uncovers transcriptomic variations
 between morphologically similar in vivo- and in vitro-derived bovine blastocysts.
 BMC Genomics. 13, 118.
- Dudda-Subramanya, R., Lucchese, G., Kanduc, D., and Sinha, A.A. (2003) Clinical applications of DNA microarray analysis. J. Exp. Ther. Oncol. 3, 297~304.
- Ebeling, M., Küng, E., See, A., Broger, C., Steiner, G., Berrera, M., Heckel, T., Iniguez, L., Albert, T., Schmucki, R., Biller, H., Singer, T., and Certa, U. (2011)
 Genome-based analysis of the nonhuman primate Macaca fascicularis as a model for drug safety assessment. Genome Res. 21, 1746~1756.
- Esteller, M. (2011) Non-coding RNAs in human disease. Nat. Rev. Genet. 12, 861~874. Evans, T.G (2015) Considerations for the use of transcriptomics in identifying the

"genes that matter" for environmental adaptation. J. Exp. Biol. 218, 1925~1935.

- Evans, T.G., Chan, F., Menge, B.A., and Hofmann, G.E. (2013) Transcriptomic responses to ocean acidification in larval sea urchins from a naturally variable pH environment. Mol. Ecol. 22, 1609~1625.
- Evans, T.G., and Hofmann, G.E. (2012) Defining the limits of physiological plasticity: how gene expression can assess and predict the consequences of ocean change.Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 367, 1733~1745.
- Evansm T.G., and Somerom G.N. (2008) A microarray-based transcriptomic time-course of hyper- and hypo-osmotic stress signaling events in the euryhaline fish Gillichthys mirabilis: osmosensors to effectors. J. Exp. Biol. 211, 3636~3649.
- Fricano, M.M., Ditewig, A.C., Jung, P.M., Liguori, M.J., Blomme, E.A.G., and Yang, Y. (2011) Global transcriptomic profiling using small volumes of whole blood: a cost-effective method for translational genomic biomarker identification in small animals. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 12, 2502~2517.
- Fumagalli, D., Blanchet-Cohen, A., Brown, D., Desmedt, C., Gacquer, D., Michiels, S.,
 Rothé, F., Majjaj, S., Salgado, R., Larsimont, D., Ignatiadis, M., Maetens, M.,
 Piccart, M., Detours, V., Sotiriou, C., and Haibe-Kains, B. (2014) Transfer of
 clinically relevant gene expression signatures in breast cancer: from Affymetrix

microarray to Illumina RNA-Sequencing technology. BMC Genomics. 15, 1008.

- Gao, X., Gulari, E., and Zhou, X. (2004) In situ synthesis of oligonucleotide microarrays. Biopolymers. 73, 579~596.
- Garnczarska, M. (2006) Molecular aspects of plant responses to oxygen deprivation stress. Postepy Biochem. 52, 101~107.
- Ghosh, D, and Qin, Z.S. (2010) Statistical Issues in the Analysis of ChIP-Seq and RNA-Seq Data. Genes (Basel). 1, 317~334.
- Głogowska-Ligus, J., and Dąbek, J. (2012) DNA microarray study of genes differentiating acute myocardial infarction patients from healthy persons. Biomarkers. 17, 379~383.
- Goggolidou, P., Soneji, S., Powles-Glover, N., Williams, D., Sethi, S., Baban, D.,
 Simon, M.M., Ragoussis, I., and Norris, D.P. (2013) A chronological expression
 profile of gene activity during embryonic mouse brain development. Mamm.
 Genome. 24, 459~472.
- Gracey, A.Y. (2007) Interpreting physiological responses to environmental change through gene expression profiling. J. Exp. Biol. 210, 1584~1592.
- Gracey, A.Y., and Cossins, A.R. (2003) Application of microarray technology in environmental and comparative physiology. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 65, 231~259.

- Gracey, A.Y., Lee, T.H., Higashi, R.M., and Fan, T. (2011) Hypoxia-induced mobilization of stored triglycerides in the euryoxic goby Gillichthys mirabilis. J. Exp. Biol. 214, 3005~3012.
- Griffith, M., Walker, J.R., Spies, N.C., Ainscough, B.J., and Griffith, O.L. (2015)Informatics for RNA sequencing: a web resource for analysis on the cloud. PLOSComput. Biol. 11, e1004393.
- Guo, L., Lobenhofer, E.K., Wang, C., Shippy, R., Harris, S.C., Zhang, L., Mei, N.,
 Chen, T., Herman, D., Goodsaid, F.M., Hurban, P., Phillips, K.L., Xu, J., Deng, X.,
 Sun, Y.A., Tong, W., Dragan, Y.P., and Shi, L. (2006) Rat toxicogenomic study
 reveals analytical consistency across microarray platforms. Nat. Biotechnol. 24,
 1162~1169.
- Guo, X.B., Hua, Z., Li, C., Peng, L.P., Wang, J.S., Wang, B., and Zhi, Q.M. (2015)
 Biological significance of long non-coding RNA FTX expression in human
 colorectal cancer. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med. 8, 15591~15600.
- Gutierrez, K., Dicks, N., Glanzner, W.G., Agellon, L.B., and Bordignon, V. (2015) Efficacy of the porcine species in biomedical research. Front. Genet. 6, 1~9.
- Hackett, N.R., Butler, M.W., Shaykhiev, R., Salit, J., Omberg, L., Rodriguez-Flores, J.L., Mezey, J.G., Strulovici-Barel, Y., Wang, G., Didon, L., and Crystal, R.G.

(2012) RNA-Seq quantification of the human small airway epithelium transcriptome. BMC Genomics. 13, 82.

- Halbeisen, R.E., and Gerber, A.P. (2009) Stress-dependent coordination of transcriptome and translatome in yeast. PLoS Biol. 7, e1000105.
- Han, H., Bearss, D.J., Browne, L.W., Calaluce, R., Nagle, R.B., and Von Hoff, D.D.
 (2002) Identification of differentially expressed genes in pancreatic cancer cells using cDNA microarray. Cancer Res. 62, 2890~2896.
- Han, Y., Gao, S., Muegge, K., Zhang, W., and Zhou, B. (2015) Advanced applications of RNA Sequencing and challenges. Bioinform. Biol. Insights. 9, 29~46.
- Handel, A.E., Chintawar, S., Lalic, T., Whiteley, E., Vowles, J., Giustacchini, A.,
 Argoud, K., Sopp, P., Nakanishi, M., Bowden, R., Cowley, S., Newey, S.,
 Akerman, C., Ponting, C.P., and Cader, M.Z. (2016) Assessing similarity to
 primary tissue and cortical layer identity in induced pluripotent stem cell-derived
 cortical neurons through single-cell transcriptomics. Hum. Mol. Genet. 25, 989~1000.
- Hayashi, K. (2012) Shochu distillery by-products as effective feed. Nihon Danc. Chikusan Gakkaihou. 55, 101~107 (in Japanese).

Hayashi, Y., Kajimoto, K., Iida, S., Sato, Y., Mizufune, S., Kaji, N., Kamiya, H., Baba,

Y., and Harashima, H. (2010) DNA microarray analysis of whole blood cells and insulin-sensitive tissues reveals the usefulness of blood RNA profiling as a source of markers for predicting type 2 diabetes. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 33, 1033~1042.

- He, F., Liu, Q., Zheng, L., Cui, Y., Shen, Z., and Zheng, L. (2015) RNA-Seq analysis of rice roots reveals the involvement of post-transcriptional regulation in response to cadmium stress. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 1136.
- He, Z., Chan, W.Y., and Dym, M. (2006) Microarray technology offers a novel tool for the diagnosis and identification of therapeutic targets for male infertility.
 Reproduction. 132, 11~19.
- Hornshøj, H., Conley, L.N., Hedegaard, J., Sørensen, P., Panitz, F., and Bendixen, C.(2007) Microarray expression profiles of 20.000 genes across 23 healthy porcine tissues. PLoS One. 2, e1203.
- Hotamisligil, G.S. (2006) Inflammation and metabolic disorders. Nature. 444, 860~867.
- Hrvatin, S., O'Donnell, C.W., Deng, F., Millman, J.R., Pagliuca, F.W., DiIorio, P.,
 Rezania, A., Gifford, D.K., and Melton, D.A. (2014) Differentiated human stem
 cells resemble fetal, not adult, β cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111,
 3038~3043.
- Hsiao, L.L., Dangond, F., Yoshida, T., Hong, R., Jensen, R.V., Misra, J., Dillon, W.,

Lee, K.F., Clark, K.E., Haverty, P., Weng, Z., Mutter, G.L., Frosch, M.P.,

MacDonald, M.E., Milford, E.L., Crum, C.P., Bueno, R., Pratt, R.E., Mahadevappa,

M., Warrington, J.A., Stephanopoulos, G., Stephanopoulos, G., and Gullans, S.R.

(2001) A compendium of gene expression in normal human tissues. Physiol.

Genomics. 7, 97~104.

- Hu, H., Lu, X., Cen, X., Chen, X., Li, F., and Zhong, S. (2014) RNA-Seq identifies key reproductive gene expression alterations in response to cadmium exposure.Biomed Res. Int. 2014, 529271.
- Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009) Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, 1~13.
- Huang, Q., Dong, S., Fang, C., Wu, X., Ye, T., and Lin, Y. (2012) Deep sequencing-based transcriptome profiling analysis of Oryzias melastigma exposed to PFOS. Aquat. Toxicol. 120~121, 54~58.
- Hughes, T.R., Mao, M., Jones, A.R., Burchard, J., Marton, M.J., Shannon, K.W.,
 Lefkowitz, S.M., Ziman, M., Schelter, J.M., Meyer, M.R., Kobayashi, S., Davis, C.,
 Dai, H., He, Y.D., Stephaniants, S.B., Cavet, G., Walker, W.L., West, A., Coffey,
 E., Shoemaker, D.D., Stoughton, R., Blanchard, A.P., Friend, S.H., and Linsley,

- P.S. (2001) Expression profiling using microarrays fabricated by an ink-jet oligonucleotide synthesizer. Nat. Biotechnol. 19, 342~347.
- Iruretagoyena, J.I., Davis, W., Bird, C., Olsen, J., Radue, R., Teo, Broman. A.,
 - Kendziorski, C., Splinter, BonDurant. S., Golos, T., Bird, I., and Shah, D. (2014)
 Metabolic gene profile in early human fetal heart development. Mol. Hum. Reprod.
 20, 690~700.
- Iwahashi, H., Kishi, K., Kitagawa, E., Suzuki, K., and Hayashi, Y. (2009) Evaluation of the physiology of Medaka as a model animal for standardized toxicity tests of chemicals by using mRNA expression profiling. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 3913~3918.
- Iwahashi, H., Kitagawa, E., Suzuki, Y., Ueda, Y., Ishizawa, Y., Nobumasa, H., Kuboki, Y., Hosoda, H., and Iwahashi, Y. (2007) Evaluation of toxicity of the mycotoxin citrinin using yeast ORF DNA microarray and Oligo DNA microarray. BMC Genomics. 8, 95.
- Jansen, R., Batista, S., Brooks, A.I., Tischfield, J.A., Willemsen, G., van Grootheest, G.,
 Hottenga, J.J., Milaneschi, Y., Mbarek, H., Madar, V., Peyrot, W., Vink, J.M.,
 Verweij, C.L., de Geus, E.J.C., Smit, J.H., Wright, F.A., Sullivan, P.F., Boomsma,
 D.I., and Penninx, B.W.J.H. (2014) Sex differences in the human peripheral blood

transcriptome. BMC Genomics. 15, 33.

- Jogaiah, S., Govind, S.R., and Tran, L.S.P. (2013) Systems biology-based approaches toward understanding drought tolerance in food crops. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 33, 23~39.
- Johnson, K.R., Hindmarch, C.C.T., Salinas, Y.D., Shi, Y., Greenwood, M., Hoe, S.Z., Murphy, D., and Gainer, H. (2015) A RNA-Seq analysis of the rat supraopticnucleus transcriptome: effects of salt loading on gene expression. PLoS One. 10, e0124523.
- Kamizono, T., Nakashima, K., and Ohtsuka, A. (2010) Effects of feeding hexane-extracts of a shochu distillery by-product on skeletal muscle protein degradation in broiler chicken. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 74, 92~95.
- Kawaida, H., Fukunaga, T., and Ueyama, S. (1991) Research on feeding and management and pork quality of strain pigs and crossbred pigs between strains.10.Bull. Kagoshima Prefect. Livest. Exp. Stn. 75~87 (in Japanese).
- Kawaida, H., Fukunaga, T., Ueyama, S., Matsumoto, K., Yanase, M., and Horinouchi,
 T. (1990) Research on feeding and management and pork quality of strain pigs and
 crossbred pigs between strains.9. Bull. Kagoshima Prefect. Livest. Exp. Stn. 56~67
 (in Japanese).

- Kawaida, H., Fukunaga, T., Ueyama, S., Matsumoto, K., Yokoyama, S., and Makiuchi,
 K. (1989) Research on feeding and management and pork quality of strain pigs and
 crossbred pigs between strains.8. Bull. Kagoshima Prefect. Livest. Exp. Stn. 59~72
 (in Japanese).
- Kawaida, H., Hirayama, N., Fukunaga, T., and Ueyama, S. (2007) Use of shochu
 distillery as a feed for hogs: Effects of the pipeline feeding system on meat
 productivity and meat quality of pigs. Mem. Fac. Fish. Kagoshima Univ. Special Is.
 8~22 (in Japanese).
- Kawasaki, E.S. (2006) The end of the microarray Tower of Babel: will universal standards lead the way? J. Biomol. Tech. 17, 200~206.
- Kilpinen, S., Autio, R., Ojala, K., Iljin, K., Bucher, E., Sara, H., Pisto, T., Saarela, M., Skotheim, R.I., Bjorkman, M., Mpindi, J.P., Haapa-Paananen, S., Vainio, P., Edgren, H., Wolf, M., Astola, J., Nees, M., Hautaniemi, S., and Kallioniemi, O. (2008) Systematic bioinformatic analysis of expression levels of 17,330 human genes across 9,783 samples from 175 types of healthy and pathological tissues. Genome Biol. 9, R139.
- Kitagawa, E., Takahashi, J., Momose, Y., and Iwahashi, H. (2002) Effects of the pesticide thiuram: Genome-wide screening of indicator genes by yeast DNA

microarray. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 3908~3915.

- Kobari, Y., Koto, M., and Tanigawa, M. (1991) Regression of diet-induced atherosclerosis in Göttingen miniature swine. Lab. Anim. 25, 110~116.
- Kopreski, M.S., Benko, F.A., Kwak, L.W., and Gocke, C.D. (1999) Detection of tumor messenger RNA in the serum of patients with malignant melanoma. Clin. Cancer Res. 5, 1961~1965.
- Kosti, I., Jain, N., Aran, D., Butte, A.J., and Sirota, M. (2016) Cross-tissue analysis of gene and protein expression in normal and cancer tissues. Sci. Rep. 6, 24799.
- Krotkiewski, M. (1994) Role of muscle morphology in the development of insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. Press. Medicale. 23, 1393~1399.
- Leaphart, A.B., Thompson, D.K., Huang, K., Alm, E., Wan, X.F., Arkin, A., Brown, S.D., Wu, L., Yan, T., Liu, X., Wickham, G.S., and Zhou, J. (2006) Transcriptome profiling of Shewanella oneidensis gene expression following exposure to acidic and alkaline pH. J. Bacteriol. 188, 1633~1642.
- Liew, C., Ma, J., Tang, H., Zheng, R., and Dempsey, A.A. (2006) The peripheral blood transcriptome dynamically reflects system wide biology: a potential diagnostic tool.J. Lab. Clin. Med. 147, 126~132.
- Liju, V.B., Jeena, K., and Kuttan, R. (2013) Acute and subchronic toxicity as well as

mutagenic evaluation of essential oil from turmeric (Curcuma longa L). Food Chem. Toxicol. 53, 52~61.

- Lissner, L., and Heitmann, B.L. (1995) Dietary fat and obesity: evidence from epidemiology. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 49, 79~90.
- Liu, D., Sartor, M., Nader, G., Pistilli, E.E., Tanton, L., Lilly, C., Gutmann, L.,
 IglayReger, H.B., Visich, P.S., Hoffman, E.P., and Gordon, P.M. (2013)
 Microarray analysis reveals novel features of the muscle aging process in men and
 women. J. Gerontol. A. Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 68, 1035~1044.
- Liu, L.F., Shen, W.J., Ueno, M., Patel, S., Azhar, S., and Kraemer, F.B. (2013)Age-related modulation of the effects of obesity on gene expression profiles of mouse bone marrow and epididymal adipocytes. PLoS One. 8, e72367.
- Liu, S., Wang, X., Sun, F., Zhang, J., Feng, J., Liu, H., Rajendran, K.V., Sun, L., Zhang, Y., Jiang, Y., Peatman, E., Kaltenboeck, L., Kucuktas, H., and Liu, Z. (2013)
 RNA-Seq reveals expression signatures of genes involved in oxygen transport, protein synthesis, folding, and degradation in response to heat stress in catfish.
 Physiol. Genomics. 45, 462~476.
- Lo, K.W., Lo, Y.M.D., Leung, S.F., Tsang, Y.S., Chan, L.Y.S., Johnson, P.J., Hjelm, N.M., Lee, J.C.K., and Huang, D.P. (1999) Analysis of cell-free Epstein-Barr

virus-associated RNA in the plasma of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin. Chem. 45, 1292~1294.

- Logan, C.A., and Buckley, B.A. (2015) Transcriptomic responses to environmental temperature in eurythermal and stenothermal fishes. J. Exp. Biol. 218, 1915~1924.
- Long, Y., Li, L., Li, Q., He, X., and Cui, Z. (2012) Transcriptomic characterization of temperature stress responses in larval zebrafish. PLoS One. 7, e37209.
- Louro, R., Smirnova, A.S., and Verjovski-Almeida, S. (2009) Long intronic noncoding RNA transcription: expression noise or expression choice? Genomics. 93, 291~298.
- Lu, L.Q., and Liao, W. (2015) Screening and functional pathway analysis of genes associated with pediatric allergic asthma using a DNA microarray. Mol. Med. Rep. 11, 4197~4203.
- Lunney, J.K. (2007) Advances in swine biomedical model genomics. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 3, 179~184.
- Ma, Y., Qin, F., and Tran, L.S.P. (2012) Contribution of genomics to gene discovery in plant abiotic stress responses. Mol. Plant. 5, 1176~1178.
- Macgregor, P.F. (2003) Gene expression in cancer: the application of microarrays. Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn. 3, 185~200.

- Mahfudz, L.K.D., Hamada, K., Hayashi, A., Ikeda, M.Y., and Ohtsuka, T. (1996) The effective use of shochu distillery by-product as a source of broiler feed. Jpn. Poult. Sci. 33, 1~7.
- Mansergh, F.C., Daly, C.S., Hurley, A.L., Wride, M.A., Hunter, S.M., and Evans, M.J.(2009) Gene expression profiles during early differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. BMC Dev. Biol. 9, 5.
- MAQC Consortium. (2006) The MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC) project shows inter- and intraplatform reproducibility of gene expression measurements. Nat. Biotechnol. 24, 1151~1161.
- Marioni, J.C., Mason, C.E., Mane, S.M., Stephens, M., and Gilad, Y. (2008) RNA-seq: an assessment of technical reproducibility and comparison with gene expression arrays. Genome Res. 18, 1509~1517.
- Massie, M.R., Lapoczka, E.M., Boggs, K.D., Stine, K.E., and White, G.E. (2003) Exposure to the metabolic inhibitor sodium azide induces stress protein expression and thermotolerance in the nematode C.elegans. Cell Stress Chaperones. 8, 1~7.
- Melamed, D., Pnueli, L., and Arava, Y. (2008) Yeast translational response to high salinity: global analysis reveals regulation at multiple levels. RNA. 14, 1337~1351.
- Meng, X., Liu, M., Jiang, K., Wang, B., Tian, X., Sun, S., Luo, Z., Qiu, C., and Wang,

L. (2013) De novo characterization of Japanese scallop Mizuhopecten yessoensis
 transcriptome and analysis of its gene expression following cadmium exposure.
 PLoS One. 8, e64485.

- Merkin, J., Russell, C., Chen, P., and Burge, C.B. (2012) Evolutionary dynamics of gene and isoform regulation in Mammalian tissues. Science. 338, 1593~1599.
- Miller, M.B., and Tang, Y.W. (2009) Basic concepts of microarrays and potential applications in clinical microbiology. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 22, 611~633.
- Min, J.L., Barrett, A., Watts, T., Pettersson, F.H., Lockstone, H.E., Lindgren, C.M., Taylor, J.M., Allen, M., Zondervan, K.T., McCarthy, M.I. (2010) Variability of gene expression profiles in human blood and lymphoblastoid cell lines. BMC Genomics. 11, 96.
- Mishra, P.J., Mishra, P.J., Humeniuk, R., Medina, D.J., Alexe, G., Mesirov, J.P.,
 Ganesan, S., Glod, J.W., and Banerjee, D. (2008) Carcinoma-associated
 fibroblast-like differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. Cancer Res. 68,
 4331~4339.
- Miura, S., Takahashi, J., and Iwahashi, H. (2016) Evaluation of the physiology of miniature pig fed Shochu distillery waste using mRNA expression profiling. J. Mater. Cycles Waste Manag.

- Mizukami-Murata, S., Murata, Y., and Iwahashi, H. (2006) Chemical toxicity of thorium in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Jpn. J. Environ. Toxicol. 9, 87~100.
- Mizukami, S., Suzuki, Y., Kitagawa, E., and Iwahashi, H. (2004) Standardization of cDNA microarray technology for toxicogenomics; essential data for initiating cDNA microarray studies. Chem-Bio Informatics J. 4, 38~55.
- Momose, Y., and Iwahashi, H. (2001) Bioassay of cadmium using a DNA microarray: Genome-wide expression patterns of Saccharomyces cerevisiae response to cadmium. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 20, 2353~2360.
- Mortazavi, A., Williams, B.A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L., and Wold, B. (2008) Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods. 5, 621~628.
- Moser, R.J., Reverter, A., Kerr, C.A., Beh, K.J., and Lehnert, S.A. (2004) A mixed-model approach for the analysis of cDNA microarray gene expression data from extreme-performing pigs after infection with Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae. J. Anim. Sci. 82, 1261~1271.
- Moskalev, A., Shaposhnikov, M., Snezhkina, A., Kogan, V., Plyusnina, E., Peregudova, D., Melnikova, N., Uroshlev, L., Mylnikov, S., Dmitriev, A., Plusnin, S., Fedichev, P., and Kudryavtseva, A. (2014) Mining gene expression data for pollutants

(dioxin, toluene, formaldehyde) and low dose of gamma-irradiation. PLoS One. 9, e86051.

- Murata, Y., Homma, T., Kitagawa, E., Momose, Y., Sato, M.S., Odani, M., Shimizu, H., Hasegawa-Mizusawa, M., Matsumoto, R., Mizukami, S., Fujita, K., Parveen, M., Komatsu, Y., and Iwahashi, H. (2006) Genome-wide expression analysis of yeast response during exposure to 4 degrees C. Extremophiles. 10, 117~128.
- Murata, Y., Watanabe, T., Sato, M., Momose, Y., Nakahara, T., Oka, S., and Iwahashi,
 H. (2003) Dimethyl sulfoxide exposure facilitates phospholipid biosynthesis and
 cellular membrane proliferation in yeast cells. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 33185~33193.
- Nakamura, T., Furukawa, Y., Nakagawa, H., Tsunoda, T., Ohigashi, H., Murata, K., Ishikawa, O., Ohgaki, K., Kashimura, N., Miyamoto, M., Hirano, S., Kondo, S., Katoh, H., Nakamura, Y., and Katagiri, T. (2004) Genome-wide cDNA microarray analysis of gene expression profiles in pancreatic cancers using populations of tumor cells and normal ductal epithelial cells selected for purity by laser microdissection. Oncogene. 23, 2385~2400.
- Nakanishi, Y., Ogawa, K., Yanagita, K., and Yamauchi, C. (1991) Body measurements and some characteristics of inbred CLAWN miniature pigs. Nihon Yot. Gakkaishi. 28, 211~218 (in Japanese with English abstract).

- Naumova, O.Y., Palejev, D., Vlasova, N.V., Lee, M., Rychkov, S.Y., Babich, O.N., M, Vaccarino. F., and Grigorenko, E.L. (2012) Age-related changes of gene expression in the neocortex: preliminary data on RNA-Seq of the transcriptome in three functionally distinct cortical areas. Dev. Psychopathol. 24, 1427~1442.
- Niewold, T.A., Kerstens, H.H.D., van der Meulen, J., Smits, M.A., and Hulst, M.M.
 (2005) Development of a porcine small intestinal cDNA microo-array:
 characterization and functional analysis of the response to enterotoxigenic E. coli.
 Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 105, 317~329.
- Nishimura, Y., Tomita, Y., Yuno, A., Yoshitake, Y., and Shinohara, M. (2015) Cancer immunotherapy using novel tumor-associated antigenic peptides identified by genome-wide cDNA microarray analyses. Cancer Sci. 106, 505~511.
- Nuwaysir, E.F., Bittner, M., Trent, J., Barrett, J.C., and Afshari, C.A. (1999) Microarrays and toxicology: The advent of toxicogenomics. Mol. Carcinog. 24, 153~159.
- Okabe, H., Satoh, S., Kato, T., Kitahara, O., Yanagawa, R., Yamaoka, Y., Tsunoda, T., Furukawa, Y., and Nakamura, Y. (2001) Genome-wide analysis of gene expression in human hepatocellular carcinomas using cDNA microarray: identification of genes involved in viral carcinogenesis and tumor progression. Cancer Res. 61,

- Oono, Y., Yazawa, T., Kanamori, H., Sasaki, H., Mori, S., Handa, H., and Matsumoto, T. (2016) Genome-wide transcriptome analysis of cadmium stress in rice. Biomed Res. Int. 2016, 9739505.
- Oono, Y., Yazawa, T., Kawahara, Y., Kanamori, H., Kobayashi, F., Sasaki, H., Mori, S., Wu, J., Handa, H., Itoh, T., and Matsumoto, T. (2014) Genome-wide transcriptome analysis reveals that cadmium stress signaling controls the expression of genes in drought stress signal pathways in rice. PLoS One. 9, e96946.
- Orbe, J., Rodriguez, J.A., Calvo, A., Grau, A., Belzunce, M.S., Martinez-Caro, D., and Páramo, J.A. (2001) Vitamins C and E attenuate plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) expression in a hypercholesterolemic porcine model of angioplasty. Cardiovasc. Res. 49, 484~492.
- Oron-Herman, M., Kamari, Y., Grossman, E., Yeger, G., Peleg, E., Shabtay, Z., Shamiss, A., and Sharabi, Y. (2008) Metabolic syndrome: Comparison of the two commonly used animal models. Am. J. Hypertens. 21, 1018~1022.
- Østrup, O., Olbricht, G., Østrup, E., Hyttel, P., Collas, P., and Cabot, R. (2013) RNA profiles of porcine embryos during genome activation reveal complex metabolic switch sensitive to in vitro conditions. PLoS One. 8, e61547.

- Otsuka, C., Minami, I., and Oda, K. (2010) Hypoxia-inducible genes encoding small EF-hand proteins in rice and tomato. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 74, 2463~2469.
- Ozsolak, F., Goren, A., Gymrek, M., Guttman, M., Regev, A., Bernstein, B.E., and Milos, P.M. (2010) Digital transcriptome profiling from attomole-level RNA samples. Genome Res. 20, 519~525.
- Ozsolak, F., Platt, A.R., Jones, D.R., Reifenberger, J.G., Sass, L.E., McInerney, P., Thompson, J.F., Bowers, J., Jarosz, M., and Milos, P.M. (2009) Direct RNA sequencing. Nature. 461, 814~818.
- Parveen, M., Hasan, M.K., Takahashi, J., Murata, Y., Kitagawa, E., Kodama, O., and Iwahashi, H. (2004) Response of Saccharomyces cerevisiae to a monoterpene:
 Evaluation of antifungal potential by DNA microarray analysis. J. Antimicrob.
 Chemother. 54, 46~55.
- Pena, R.N., Quintanilla, R., Manunza, A., Gallardo, D., Casellas, J., and Amills, M. (2014) Application of the microarray technology to the transcriptional analysis of muscle phenotypes in pigs. Anim. Genet. 45, 311~321.
- Pennie, W., Pettit, S.D., and Lord, P.G. (2004) Toxicogenomics in risk assessment: an overview of an HESI collaborative research program. Environ. Health Perspect. 112, 417~419.

- Pertea, M. (2012) The human transcriptome: an unfinished story. Genes (Basel). 3, 344~360.
- Pflueger, D., Terry, S., Sboner, A., Habegger, L., Esgueva, R., Lin, P.C., Svensson,
 - M.A., Kitabayashi, N., Moss, B.J., MacDonald, T.Y., Cao, X., Barrette, T., Tewari,
 A.K., Chee, M.S., Chinnaiyan, A.M., Rickman, D.S., Demichelis, F., Gerstein,
 M.B., and Rubin, M.A. (2011) Discovery of non-ETS gene fusions in human
 prostate cancer using next-generation RNA sequencing. Genome Res. 21, 56~67.
- Pistol, G.C., Braicu, C., Motiu, M., Gras, M.A., Marin, D.E., Stancu, M., Calin, L., Israel-Roming, F., Berindan-Neagoe, I., and Taranu, I. (2015) Zearalenone mycotoxin affects immune mediators, MAPK signalling molecules, nuclear receptors and genome-wide gene expression in pig spleen. PLoS One. 10, e0127503.
- Poon, L.L.M., Leung, T.N., Lau, T.K., and Lo, Y.M.D. (2000) Presence of fetal RNA in maternal plasma. Clin. Chem. 46, 1832~1834.
- Posas, F., Chambers, J.R., Heyman, J.A., Hoeffler, J.P., de Nadal, E., and Ariño, J. (2000) The transcriptional response of yeast to saline stress. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 17249~17255.

Quackenbush, J. (2001) Computational analysis of microarray data. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2,

418~427.

- Radonjic, M., de, Haan. J.R., van, Erk. M.J., van, Dijk. K.W., van, den. Berg. S.A.A., de, Groot. P.J., Müller, M., and van Ommen, B. (2009) Genome-wide mRNA expression analysis of hepatic adaptation to high-fat diets reveals switch from an inflammatory to steatotic transcriptional program. PLoS One. 4, e6646.
- Ren, L., Wang, Z., An, L., Zhang, Z., Tan, K., Miao, K., Tao, L., Cheng, L., Zhang, Z., Yang, M., Wu, Z., and Tian, J. (2015) Dynamic comparisons of high-resolution expression profiles highlighting mitochondria-related genes between in vivo and in vitro fertilized early mouse embryos. Hum. Reprod. 30, 2892~2911.
- Rinn, J.L., and Chang, H.Y. (2012) Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 81, 145~166.
- Rippen, H.E., Lamm, S.H., Nicoll, P.G., Cummings, L., Howearth, G., and Thayer, D.
 (1996) Occupational health data as a basis for process engineering changes:
 development of a safe work environment in the sodium azide industry. Int. Arch.
 Occup. Environ. Health. 68, 459~468.
- Roberts, A., Trapnell, C., Donaghey, J., Rinn, J.L., and Pachter, L. (2011) Improving RNA-Seq expression estimates by correcting for fragment bias. Genome Biol. 12, R22.

- Roberts, C.K., Barnard, R.J., Liang, K.H., and Vaziri, N.D. (2002) Effect of diet on adipose tissue and skeletal muscle VLDL receptor and LPL: implications for obesity and hyperlipidemia. Atherosclerosis. 161, 133~141.
- Rodríguez-Acebes, S., Palacios, N., Botella-Carretero, J.I., Olea, N., Crespo, L.,
 Peromingo, R., Gómez-Coronado, D., Lasunción, M.A., Vázquez, C., and
 Martínez-Botas, J. (2010) Gene expression profiling of subcutaneous adipose
 tissue in morbid obesity using a focused microarray: distinct expression of
 cell-cycle- and differentiation-related genes. BMC Med. Genomics. 3, 61.
- Rome, S., Meugnier, E., Lecomte, V., Berbe, V., Besson, J., Cerutti, C., Pesenti, S.,
 Granjon, A., Disse, E., Clement, K., Lefai, E., Laville, M., and Vidal, H. (2009)
 Microarray analysis of genes with impaired insulin regulation in the skeletal
 muscle of type 2 diabetic patients indicates the involvement of basic
 helix-loop-helix domain-containing, class B, 2 protein (BHLHB2). Diabetologia.
 52, 1899~1912.
- Roux, P.F., Frésard, L., Boutin, M., Leroux, S., Klopp, C., Djari, A., Esquerré, D., Martin, P.G., Zerjal, T., Gourichon, D., Pitel, F., and Lagarrigue, S. (2015) The extent of mRNA editing is limited in chicken liver and adipose, but impacted by tissular context, genotype, age, and feeding as exemplified with a conserved edited

site in COG3. G3 (Bethesda). 6, 321~335.

- Rowley, J.W., Oler, A.J., Tolley, N.D., Hunter, B.N., Low, E.N., Nix, D.A., Yost, C.C., Zimmerman, G.A., and Weyrich, A.S. (2011) Genome-wide RNA-seq analysis of human and mouse platelet transcriptomes. Blood. 118, e101-111.
- Russell, J.C., and Proctor, S.D. (2006) Small animal models of cardiovascular disease: tools for the study of the roles of metabolic syndrome, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerosis. Cardiovasc. Pathol. 15, 318~330.
- Saei, A.A., and Omidi, Y. (2011) A glance at DNA microarray technology and applications. Bioimpacts. 1, 75~86.
- Saito-Hisaminato, A., Katagiri, T., Kakiuchi, S., Nakamura, T., Tsunoda, T., and Nakamura, Y. (2002) Genome-wide profiling of gene expression in 29 normal human tissues with a cDNA microarray. DNA Res. 9, 35~45.
- Sakashita, A., Kawabata, Y., Jincho, Y., Tajima, S., Kumamoto, S., Kobayashi, H., Matsui, Y., and Kono, T. (2015) Sex specification and heterogeneity of primordial germ cells in mice. PLoS One. 10, e0144836.
- Saldanha, A.J. (2004) Java Treeview-extensible visualization of microarray data. Bioinformatics. 20, 3246~3248.
- Satoh, J., Yamamoto, Y., Asahina, N., Kitano, S., and Kino, Y. (2014) RNA-Seq data

mining: downregulation of NeuroD6 serves as a possible biomarker for alzheimer's disease brains. Dis. Markers. 2014, 123165.

- Schena, M., Shalon, D., Davis, R.W., and Brown, P.O. (1995) Quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns with a complementary DNA microarray. Science. 270, 467~470.
- Schook, L.B., Beever, J.E., Rogers, J., Humphray, S., Archibald, A., Chardon, P., Milan,
 D., Rohrer, G., and Eversole, K. (2005) Swine Genome Sequencing Consortium
 (SGSC): a strategic roadmap for sequencing the pig genome. Comp. Funct.
 Genomics. 6, 251~255.
- Schuler, G.D., Boguski, M.S., Stewart, E.A., Stein, L.D., Gyapay, G., Rice, K., White, R.E., Rodriguez-Tomé, P., Aggarwal, A., ... Hudson, T.J. (1996) A gene map of the human genome. Science. 274, 540~546.
- SEQC/MAQC-III Consortium (2014) A comprehensive assessment of RNA-seq accuracy, reproducibility and information content by the Sequencing Quality Control Consortium. Nat. Biotechnol. 32, 903~914.
- Serrano, R., Martín, H., Casamayor, A., and Ariño, J. (2006) Signaling alkaline pH stress in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae through the Wsc1 cell surface sensor and the Slt2 MAPK pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 39785~39795.

- Sethi, D., Kumar, A., Gupta, K.C., and Kumar, P. (2008) A facile method for the construction of oligonucleotide microarrays. Bioconjugate Chem. 19, 2136~2143.
- Shinde, S., Behpouri, A., McElwain, J.C., and Ng, C.K.Y. (2015) Genome-wide transcriptomic analysis of the effects of sub-ambient atmospheric oxygen and elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide levels on gametophytes of the moss, Physcomitrella patens. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 4001~4012.
- Shiraki, N., Ogaki, S., and Kume, S. (2014) Profiling of embryonic stem cell differentiation. Rev. Diabet. Stud. 11, 102~114.
- Shmueli, O., Horn-Saban, S., Chalifa-Caspi, V., Shmoish, M., Ophir, R., Benjamin-Rodrig, H., Safran, M., Domany, E., and Lancet, D. (2003) GeneNote: whole genome expression profiles in normal human tissues. C. R. Biol. 326, 1067~1072.
- Simon, G., and Maibach, H. (2000) The pig as an experimental animal model of percutaneous permeation in man: qualitative and quantitative observations--an overview. Skin Pharmacol. Appl. Skin Physiol. 13, 229~234.
- Smith, S., Bernatchez, L., and Beheregaray, L.B. (2013) RNA-seq analysis revealsextensive transcriptional plasticity to temperature stress in a freshwater fish species.BMC Genomics. 14, 375.

- Son, C.G., Bilke, S., Davis, S., Greer, B.T., Wei, J.S., Whiteford, C.C., Chen, Q.R., Cenacchi, N., and Khan, J. (2005) Database of mRNA gene expression profiles of multiple human organs. Genome Res. 15, 443~450.
- Staratschek-Jox, A., Classen, S., Gaarz, A., Debey-Pascher, S., and Schultze, J.L. (2009) Blood-based transcriptomics: leukemias and beyond. Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn. 9, 271~280.
- Steegenga, W.T., Boekschoten, M.V., Lute, C., Hooiveld, G.J., de, Groot. P.J., Morris,
 T.J., Teschendorff, A.E., Butcher, L.M., Beck, S., and Müller, M. (2014)
 Genome-wide age-related changes in DNA methylation and gene expression in
 human PBMCs. Age (Dordr). 36, 9648.
- Su, A.I., Cooke, M.P., Ching, K.A., Hakak, Y., Walker, J.R., Wiltshire, T., Orth, A.P., Vega, R.G., Sapinoso, L.M., Moqrich, A., Patapoutian, A., Hampton, G.M., Schultz, P.G., and Hogenesch, J.B. (2002) Large-scale analysis of the human and mouse transcriptomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99, 4465~4470.
- Su, Z., Fang, H., Hong, H., Shi, L., Zhang, W., Zhang, W., Zhang, Y., Dong, Z.,
 Lancashire, L.J., Bessarabova, M., Yang, X., Ning, B., Gong, B., Meehan, J., Xu,
 J., Ge, W., Perkins, R., Fischer, M., and Tong, W. (2014) An investigation of
 biomarkers derived from legacy microarray data for their utility in the RNA-seq

era. Genome Biol. 15, 523.

- Sudmant, P.H., Alexis, M.S., and Burge, C.B. (2015) Meta-analysis of RNA-seq expression data across species, tissues and studies. Genome Biol. 16, 287.
- Sun, A.G., Wang, J., Shan, Y.Z., Yu, W.J., Li, X., Cong, C.H., and Wang, X. (2014) Identifying distinct candidate genes for early Parkinson's disease by analysis of gene expression in whole blood. Neuro. Endocrinol. Lett. 35, 398~404.
- Sun, H., Chen, G.Y.J., and Yao, S.Q. (2013) Recent advances in microarray technologies for proteomics. Chem. Biol. 20, 685~699.
- Swiderek, H., Logan, A., and Al-Rubeai, M. (2008) Cellular and transcriptomic analysis of NS0 cell response during exposure to hypoxia. J. Biotechnol. 134, 103~111.
- Taft, R.J., Pang, K.C., Mercer, T.R., Dinger, M., and Mattick, J.S. (2010) Non-coding RNAs: regulators of disease. J. Pathol. 220, 126~139.
- Takahashi, J., Misawa, M., and Iwahashi, H. (2011) Oligonucleotide microarray analysis of age-related gene expression profiles in miniature pigs. PLoS One. 6, 1~11.
- Takahashi, J., Waki, S., Matsumoto, R., Odake, J., Miyaji, T., Tottori, J., Iwanaga, T., and Iwahashi, H. (2012) Oligonucleotide microarray analysis of dietary-induced hyperlipidemia gene expression profiles in miniature pigs. PLoS One. 7, e37581.

- Takahashi, K., Tanabe, K., Ohnuki, M., Narita, M., Ichisaka, T., Tomoda, K., and Yamanaka, S. (2007) Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. Cell. 131, 861~872.
- Tan, Y., Pan, T., Ye, Y., Ge, G., Chen, L., Wen, D., and Zou, S. (2014) Serum microRNAs as potential biomarkers of primary biliary cirrhosis. PLoS One. 9, e111424.
- Tanaka, A., Woltjen, K., Miyake, K., Hotta, A., Ikeya, M., Yamamoto, T., Nishino, T.,
 Shoji, E., Sehara-Fujisawa, A., Manabe, Y., Fujii, N., Hanaoka, K., Era, T.,
 Yamashita, S., Isobe, K.I., Kimura, E., and Sakurai, H. (2013) Efficient and
 reproducible myogenic differentiation from human iPS cells: prospects for
 modeling Miyoshi Myopathy in vitro. PLoS One. 8, e61540.
- Tang, M., Sun, J., Shimizu, K., and Kadota, K. (2015) Evaluation of methods for differential expression analysis on multi-group RNA-seq count data. BMC Bioinformatics. 16, 361.
- Taymaz-Nikerel, H., Cankorur-Cetinkaya, A., and Kirdar, B. (2016) Genome-wide transcriptional response of Saccharomyces cerevisiae to stress-induced perturbations. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 4, 17.
- Ton, C., Stamatiou, D., and Liew, C.C. (2003) Gene expression profile of zebrafish

exposed to hypoxia during development. Physiol. Genomics. 13, 97~106.

- Tong, W., Cao, X., Harris, S., Sun, H., Fang, H., Fuscoe, J., Harris, A., Hong, H., Xie,
 Q., Perkins, R., Shi, L., and Casciano, D. (2003) ArrayTrack--supporting
 toxicogenomic research at the U.S. Food and Drug Administration National Center
 for Toxicological Research. Environ. Health Perspect. 111, 1819~1826.
- Tseng, G.C., Ghosh, D., and Feingold, E. (2012) Comprehensive literature review and statistical considerations for microarray meta-analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, 3785~3799.
- Umbright, C., Sellamuthu, R., Li, S., Kashon, M., Luster, M., and Joseph, P. (2010) Blood gene expression markers to detect and distinguish target organ toxicity. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 335, 223~234.
- Van, Delft. J., Gaj, S., Lienhard, M., Albrecht, M.W., Kirpiy, A., Brauers, K., Claessen, S., Lizarraga, D., Lehrach, H., Herwig, R., and Kleinjans, J. (2012) RNA-seq provides new insights in the transcriptome responses induced by the carcinogen benzo[a]pyrene. Toxicol. Sci. 130, 427~439.
- Vodicka, P., Smetana, K., Dvoránková, B., Emerick, T., Xu, Y.Z., Ourednik, J., Ourednik, V., and Motlík, J. (2005) The miniature pig as an animal model in biomedical research. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1049, 161~171.

- Walker, J.R., Su, A.I., Self, D.W., Hogenesch, J.B., Lapp, H., Maier, R., Hoyer, D., and Bilbe, G. (2004) Applications of a rat multiple tissue gene expression data set. Genome Res. 14, 742~749.
- Wang, S., Sparks, L.M., Xie, H., Greenway, F.L., de, Jonge. L., and Smith, S.R. (2009) Subtyping obesity with microarrays: implications for the diagnosis and treatment of obesity. Int. J. Obes. (Lond). 33, 481~489.
- Wang, Z., Gerstein, M., and Snyder, M. (2009) RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nat. Rev. Genet. 10, 57~63.
- Wei, H., Liu, X., Yuan, J., Li, L., Zhang, D., Guo, X., Liu, L., and Zhang, S. (2015) Age-specific gene expression profiles of Rhesus monkey ovaries detected by microarray analysis. Biomed Res. Int. 2015, 625192.
- Wei, X., Xiaoling, Z., Kai, M., Rui, W., Jing, X., Min, G., Zhonghong, W., Jianhui, T., Xinyu, Z., and Lei, A. (2016) Characterization and comparative analyses of transcriptomes for in vivo and in vitro produced peri-implantation conceptuses and endometria from sheep. J. Reprod. Dev. 62, 279~287.
- Whitworth, K.M., Mao, J., Lee, K., Spollen, W.G., Samuel, M.S., Walters, E.M., Spate,L.D., and Prather, R.S. (2015) Transcriptome analysis of pig in vivo, invitro-fertilized, and nuclear transfer blastocyst-stage embryos treated with histone
deacetylase inhibitors postfusion and activation reveals changes in the lysosomal pathway. Cell. Reprogram. 17, 243~258.

- Williams-Devane, C.R., Wolf, M.A., and Richard, A.M. (2009) Toward a public toxicogenomics capability for supporting predictive toxicology: survey of current resources and chemical indexing of experiments in GEO and ArrayExpress. Toxicol. Sci. 109, 358~371.
- Williams, B.A., Gwirtz, R.M., and Wold, B.J. (2004) Genomic DNA as a cohybridization standard for mammalian microarray measurements. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, e81.
- Wilson, A., Shehadeh, L.A., Yu, H., and Webster, K.A. (2010) Age-related molecular genetic changes of murine bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. BMC Genomics. 11, 229.
- Wood, L.D., Parsons, D.W., Jones, S., Lin, J., Sjöblom, T., Leary, R.J., Shen, D., Boca,
 S.M., Barber, T., Ptak, J., Silliman, N., Szabo, S., Dezso, Z., Ustyanksky, V.,
 Nikolskaya, T., Nikolsky, Y., Karchin, R., Wilson, P.A., Kaminker, J.S., Zhang, Z.,
 Croshaw, R., Willis, J., Dawson, D., Shipitsin, M., Willson, J.K.V., Sukumar, S.,
 Polyak, K., Park, B.H., Pethiyagoda, C.L., Pant, P.V.K., Ballinger, D.G., Sparks,
 A.B., Hartigan, J., Smith, D.R., Suh, E., Papadopoulos, N., Buckhaults, P.,

Markowitz, S.D., Parmigiani, G., Kinzler, K.W., Velculescu, V.E., and Vogelstein, B. (2007) The genomic landscapes of human breast and colorectal cancers. Science. 318, 1108~1113.

- Worden, C.R., Kovac, W.K., Dorn, L.A., and Sandrin, T.R. (2009) Environmental pH affects transcriptional responses to cadmium toxicity in Escherichia coli K-12 (MG1655). FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 293, 58~64.
- Xia, J.H., Liu, P., Liu, F., Lin, G., Sun, F., Tu, R., and Yue, G.H. (2013) Analysis of stress-responsive transcriptome in the intestine of Asian seabass (Lates calcarifer) using RNA-seq. DNA Res. 20, 449~460.
- Xu, Y., Chu, L., Jin, Q., Wang, Y., Chen, X., Zhao, H., and Xue, Z. (2015)
 Transcriptome-wide identification of miRNAs and their targets from typha angustifolia by RNA-Seq and their response to cadmium stress. PLoS One. 10, e0125462.
- Yamabuki, T., Daigo, Y., Kato, T., Hayama, S., Tsunoda, T., Miyamoto, M., Ito, T., Fujita, M., Hosokawa, M., Kondo, S., and Nakamura, Y. (2006) Genome-wide gene expression profile analysis of esophageal squamous cell carcinomas. Int. J. Oncol. 28, 1375~1384.
- Yang, C.C., Lin, Y.S., Hsu, C.C., Tsai, M.H., Wu, S.C., and Cheng, W.T.K. (2010)

Seasonal effect on sperm messenger RNA profile of domestic swine (Sus Scrofa). Anim. Reprod. Sci. 119, 76~84.

- Yang, I.V., Chen, E., Hasseman, J.P., Liang, W., Frank, B.C., Wang, S., Sharov, V.,
 Saeed, A.I., White, J., Li, J., Lee, N.H., Yeatman, T.J., and Quackenbush, J. (2002)
 Within the fold: assessing differential expression measures and reproducibility in
 microarray assays. Genome Biol. 3, research0062.
- Yauk, C.L., and Berndt, M.L. (2007) Review of the literature examining the correlation among DNA microarray technologies. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 48, 380~394.
- Yin, W., Liao, D., Kusunoki, M., Xi, S., Tsutsumi, K., Wang, Z., Lian, X., Koike, T., Fan, J., Yang, Y., and Tang, C. (2004) NO-1886 decreases ectopic lipid deposition and protects pancreatic beta cells in diet-induced diabetic swine. J. Endocrinol. 180, 399~408.
- Yu, P., and Lin, W. (2016) Single-cell transcriptome study as big data. Genomics. Proteomics. Bioinformatics. 14, 21~30.
- Zhang, C., Yin, W., Liao, D., Huang, L., Tang, C., Tsutsumi, K., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Li, Q., Hou, H., Cai, M., and Xiao, J. (2006) NO-1886 upregulates ATP binding cassette transporter A1 and inhibits diet-induced atherosclerosis in Chinese Bama minipigs. J. Lipid Res. 47, 2055~2063.

- Zhang, H., Sun, Z., Wei, W., Liu, Z., Fleming, J., Zhang, S., Lin, N., Wang, M., Chen,
 M., Xu, Y., Zhou, J., Li, C., Bi, L., and Zhou, G. (2014) Identification of serum
 microRNA biomarkers for tuberculosis using RNA-seq. PLoS One. 9, e88909.
- Zhang, Y., Chen, S., Hao, X., Su, J.Q., Xue, X., Yan, Y., Zhu, Y.G., and Ye, J. (2016) Transcriptomic analysis reveals adaptive responses of an enterobacteriaceae strain LSJC7 to arsenic exposure. Front. Microbiol. 7, 636.
- Zhao, D., Li, T., Shen, M., Wang, J., and Zhao, Z. (2015) Diverse strategies conferring extreme cadmium (Cd) tolerance in the dark septate endophyte (DSE), Exophiala pisciphila: evidence from RNA-seq data. Microbiol. Res. 170, 27~35.
- Zhu, C.Q., and Tsao, M.S. (2014) Prognostic markers in lung cancer: is it ready for prime time? Transl. Lung Cancer Res. 3, 149~158.